arXiv:0801.3322v1 [math.AP] 22 Jan 2008

BOUNDARY SHAPE CONTROL OF NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS AND GEOMETRICAL DESIGN METHOD FOR BLADE'S SURFACE IN THE IMPELLER*

KAITAI LI†, JIAN SU‡, AND LIQUAN MEI§

Abstract. In this paper A Geometrical Design Method for Blade's surface $\Im$ in the impeller is provided here $\Im$ is a solution to a coupling system consisting of the well-known Navier-Stokes equations and a four order elliptic boundary value problem . The coupling system is used to describe the relations between solutions of Navier-Stokes equations and the geometry of the domain occupied by fluids, and also provides new theory and methods for optimal geometric design of the boundary of domain mentioned above. This coupling system is the Eular-Lagrange equations of the optimal control problem which is describing a new principle of the geometric design for the blade's surface of an impeller. The control variable is the surface of the blade and the state equations are Navier-Stokes equations with mixed boundary conditions in the channel between two blades. The objective functional depending on the geometry shape of blade's surface describes the dissipation energy of the flow and the power of the impeller. First we prove the existence of a solution of the optimal control problem. Then we use a special coordinate system of the Navier-Stokes equations to derive the objective functional which depends on the surface Θ explicitly. We also show the weakly continuity of the solution of the Navier-Stokes equations with respect to the geometry shape of the blade's surface.

Key words. blade, boundary shape control, general minimal surface, Navier-Stokes equations,Euler-Lagrange equations.

AMS subject classifications. 65N30, 76U05, 76M05

1. Introduction.

Blade's shape design for the impeller is driven by the need of improving performance and reliability. So far we have not found a geometric design entirely from mathematical point of view. As it is well known that the blade's surface is a part of the boundary of the flow domain in the impeller. We can use the technique of the boundary geometric control problem for the Navier-Stokes equations to design the blade's shape. This idea is motivated by the classical minimal surface which is to find a surface spanning on a closed Jordanian curvilinear C such that

$$J(\Im) = Aug \inf_{S \in \mathcal{F}} J(S)$$

where $J(S) = \int\int_S dS$ is the area of S.

In this paper we try to propose a principle for a fully mathematical design of the surface of the blade in an impeller. This principle models a general minimal surface by minimizing a functional proposed by us. A key point in this modelling process is theoretical rationality and the realization of our design procedure. Using a tensor analysis technique we realize this procedure and obtain the Eular-Lagrange equations for blade's surface which is a system coupling an elliptic boundary value problem, the Navier-Stokes equations and linearized Navier-Stokes equations, and prove the existence of solution of the system coupling problem.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give the main results of this paper. In section 3, we derive the rotating Navier-Stokes equations in the channel

---

*Subsidized by NSFC Project 50136030,50306019,40375010,10471110,10471109.

†School of Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong University,Xi'an, 710049,P.R.China, (ktli@xjtu.edu.cn).

‡School of Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong University,Xi'an, 710049,P.R.China.

§School of Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong University,Xi'an, 710049,P.R.China.

in the impeller with mixed boundary condition under a new coordinate system. We give the minimizing functional problem and derive the Euler-Lagrange equations in section 4. In section 5 another model to design the blade of the impeller is given. In the last section we prove the existence of the solution to the optimal control problem, including the existence of the solution of Navier-Stokes Equations with mixed boundary conditions and the weakly continuous dependence relationships of the solution of Navier-Stokes equation with respect to the geometry shape of the blade's surface and so on.

2. Main Results. Suppose $(x^1, x^2) \in D \subset E^2(2D - EuclidianSpace)$. A smooth mapping $\Theta(x^1, x^2)$ is the image of a surface. On the other hand, suppose that (r, θ, z) is a polar cylindrical coordinate system rotating with impeller's angular velocity ω .

$(\vec{e}_r, \vec{e}_\theta, \vec{k})$ are the corresponding base vectors. z-axis is the rotating axis of the impeller. N is the number of blade and $\varepsilon = \pi/N$. The angle between two successive blades is $\frac{2\pi}{N}$. The flow passage of the impeller is bounded by $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon = \Gamma_{in} \cup \Gamma_{out} \cup \Gamma_t \cup \Gamma_b \cup S_+ \cup S_-$. The middle surface S of the blade is defined as the image $\vec{\Re}$ of the closure of a domain $D \subset R^2$ where $\vec{\Re} : D \to \Re^3$ is a smooth injective mapping which can be expressed by that for any point $\vec{\Re}(D) \in S$

$$\vec{\Re}(x) = x^2\vec{e}_r + x^2\Theta(x^1, x^2)\vec{e}_\theta + x^1\vec{k}, \forall x = (x^1, x^2) \in \bar{D}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Theta \in C^2(D, R)$ is a smooth function. $x = (x^1, x^2)$ is called a Gaussian coordinate system on S. It is easy to prove that there exists a family S_ξ of surfaces with a single parameter to cover the domain Ω_ε defined by the mapping $D \to S_\xi = \{\vec{R}(x^1, x^2; \xi) : \forall(x^1, x^2) \in D\}$:

$$\vec{R}(x^1, x^2; \xi) = x^2\vec{e}_r + x^2(\varepsilon\xi + \Theta(x^1, x^2))\vec{e}_\theta + x^1\vec{k}, \tag{2.2}$$

It is clear that the metric tensor $a_{\alpha\beta}$ of S_ξ is homogenous and nonsingular independent of ξ, and is given as follows:

$$a_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial\vec{R}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial\vec{R}}{\partial x^\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + r^2\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta, \quad a = \det(a_{\alpha\beta}) = 1 + r^2(\Theta_1^2 + \Theta_2^2) > 0, \tag{2.3}$$

From this we establish a curvilinear coordinate system (x^1, x^2, ξ) in $\Re^3$,

$$(r, \theta, z) \to (x^1, x^2, \xi) : x^1 = z, \quad x^2 = r, \quad \xi = \varepsilon^{-1}(\theta - \Theta(x^1, x^2)), \tag{2.4}$$

that maps the flow passage domain

$$\Omega_\varepsilon = \{\vec{R}(x^1, x^2, \xi) = x^2\vec{e}_r + x^2(\varepsilon\xi + \Theta(x^1, x^2))\vec{e}_\theta + x^1\vec{k}, \forall(x^1, x^2, \xi) \in \Omega\}$$

into a fixed domain in E^3(3D Euclidian Space):

$$\Omega = \{(x^1, x^2) \in D, -1 \le \xi \le 1\} \quad \text{in} \quad \Re^3$$

which is independent of Surface S of the blade, and Jacobian

$$J(\frac{\partial(r, \theta, z)}{\partial(x^1, x^2, \xi)}) = \varepsilon,$$

therefore the transformation is nonsingular.

Assume that $(x^{1'}, x^{2'}, x^{3'}) = (r, \theta, z)$,as well known that corresponding metric tensor of $\Re^3$ is $(g_{1'1'} = 1, g_{2'2'} = r^2, g_{3'3'} = 1, g_{i'j'} = 0 \forall i' \neq j')$. According to rule of tensor transformation under coordinate transformation we have following calculation formulae

$$g_{ij} = g_{i'j'} \frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x^{j'}}{\partial x^j}.$$

Substituting (2.3) into above formulae the metric tensor of E^3 in new curvilinear coordinate system can be obtain

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\alpha\beta}, \quad g_{3\beta} = g_{\beta 3} = \varepsilon r^2 \Theta_\beta, \quad g_{33} = \varepsilon^2 r^2, \quad g = \det(g_{ij}) = \varepsilon^2 r^2. \tag{2.5}$$

Through this paper we denote $\Theta_\alpha = \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial x^\alpha}$. Its contravariant components are given by

$$g^{\alpha\beta} = \delta^{\alpha\beta},\ g^{3\beta} = g^{\beta 3} = -\varepsilon^{-1} \Theta_\beta, \quad g^{33} = \varepsilon^{-2} r^{-2} (1 + r^2 |\nabla\Theta|^2), \tag{2.6}$$

where $|\nabla\Theta|^2 = \Theta_1^2 + \Theta_2^2$ and $\Theta_\alpha = \dfrac{\partial\Theta}{\partial x^\alpha}$.

♣ First Model:

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose the* Θ *is a blade's surface defined by* (2.1). *Then* Θ *is proposed as a solution of following elliptic boundary value problem:*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda \partial x^\sigma}(K^{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma}(w) \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial x^\beta \partial x^\alpha}) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda \partial x^\sigma}(r \widehat{\Phi}^{\lambda\sigma}(w, \Theta)) \\ \qquad -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}(r \hat{\Phi}^\lambda(w, \Theta)) + \hat{\Phi}^0(w, \widehat{w}) r = 0, \quad \forall (x^1, x^2) \in D \subset \Re^2 \\ \Theta = \Theta_0, \quad \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial n} = \Theta_*, on \partial D \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

combing Navier-Stokes equations and linearized Navier-Stokes equations, where (w, p) *and* $(\widehat{w}, \widehat{p})$ *are solutions of compressible or incompressible rotating Navier-Stokes equations* (3.1) *or* (3.7) *and linearized Navier-Stokes equations (3.34) respectively and*

$$K^{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma}(w, \Theta) = 2\mu r^3 W^{\alpha\sigma} \delta^{\beta\lambda}, \quad W^{\alpha\beta} = \int_{-1}^{1} w^\alpha w^\beta d\xi, \tag{2.8}$$

$\widetilde{\Phi}^0, \widetilde{\Phi}^\lambda, \widetilde{\Phi}^{\lambda\sigma}$ *are defined by (4.11) respectively.*

Variational formulation associated with (2.7) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } \Theta \in V_\Gamma(D) = \{q | q \in H^2(D), q|_{\Gamma_0} = \Theta_0, \frac{\partial q}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_0} = 0\}, \\ \text{such that}, \quad \forall \eta \in H_0^2(D) \\ \int\int_D [(K^{\lambda\sigma\nu\mu}(w) \Theta_{\nu\mu} + r \hat{\Phi}^{\lambda\sigma}(w, \Theta)] \eta_{\lambda\sigma} dx \\ \quad + \int\int_D [r \hat{\Phi}^\lambda(w, \Theta) \eta_\lambda + r \hat{\Phi}^0(w, \widehat{w}, \Theta) \eta] dx = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

♣ Second Model:

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose the* Θ *is a blade's surface defined by* (2.1). *Then* Θ *is proposed as a solution of following elliptic boundary value problem :*

$$\begin{cases} -\Big(K_0(w) \widetilde{\Delta} \Theta + K^{\lambda\nu}(w, \Theta) \Theta_{\nu\lambda}\Big) + F^{\nu\mu}(w) \Theta_\nu \Theta_\mu \\ \quad + F^\lambda(w) \Theta_\lambda + F_0(w, \Theta) = 0, \\ \Theta|_\gamma = \Theta_0, \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

where $K_0(w), K^{\lambda\nu}(w), F^{\nu\mu}(w), F^\lambda(w), F_0(w,\Theta)$ *are defined by (5.15).* The variational formulation associated with (5.11) is given by

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text{Find } \Theta \in H^1_\gamma(D) = \{v | v \in\ H^1(D), v = \Theta^* \text{ on } \gamma = \partial D\} \\ \text{such that, } \forall \eta \in\ H^1_0(D) \\ \int\int_D \left\{[\Psi_0(w,p,\Theta)\eta + \Psi^\lambda(w,p,\Theta)\eta_\lambda - \mu r^2 W^\sigma \frac{\partial(\Theta_\lambda \eta_\lambda)}{\partial x^\sigma}] r\omega\varepsilon r\right\} dx = 0,\end{array}\right. \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\Psi^\lambda(w,p,\Theta) = \Psi^\lambda_0(w,p) + \Psi^\lambda_\nu(w,p)\Theta_\nu + \Psi^\lambda_{\nu\mu}(w,p)\Theta_\nu\Theta_\mu, \tag{2.12}$$

and $\Psi_0(w,p,\Theta), \Psi^\lambda_0(w,p), \Psi^\lambda_\nu(w,p), +\Psi^\lambda_{\nu\mu}(w,p)$ are defined by (5.10)(5.11).

3. Rotating Navier-Stokes Equations With Mixed Boundary Conditions. At first, we consider the three-dimensional rotating Navier-Stokes equations in a frame rotating around the axis of a rotating impeller with an angular velocity ω:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\dfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho w) = 0, \\ \rho a = \nabla\sigma + f, \\ \rho c_v(\dfrac{\partial T}{\partial t} + w^j\nabla_j T) - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \operatorname{grad} T) + p\operatorname{div} w - \Phi = h, \\ p = p(\rho, T),\end{array}\right. \tag{3.1}$$

where ρ is the density of the fluid, w the velocity of the fluid, h the heat source, T the temperature, k the coefficient of heat conductivity, C_v specific heat at constant volume, and μ viscosity. Furthermore, the strain rate tensor, stress tensor,dissipative function and viscous tensor are given by respectively:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} e_{ij}(w) & = \dfrac{1}{2}(\nabla_i w_j + \nabla_j w_i); \quad i,j = 1,2,3, \\ e^{ij}(w) & = g^{ik}g^{jm}e_{km}(w) = \dfrac{1}{2}(\nabla^i w^j + \nabla^j w^i), \\ \sigma^{ij}(w,p) & = A^{ijkm}e_{km}(w), \quad \Phi = A^{ijkm}e_{ij}(w)e_{ij}(w), \\ A^{ijkm} & = \lambda g^{ij}g^{km} + \mu(g^{ik}g^{jm} + g^{im}g^{jk}), \quad \lambda = -\frac{2}{3}\mu,\end{array}\right. \tag{3.2}$$

where g_{ij}, and g^{ij} are the covariant and contravariant components of the metric tensor of dimensional three Euclidian space in the curvilinear coordinate (x^1, x^2, ξ) define by (2.4) respectively,

$$\begin{array}{l}\nabla_i w^j = \dfrac{\partial w^j}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma^j_{ik}w^k; \quad \nabla_i w_j = \dfrac{\partial w_j}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma^k_{ij}w_k, \\ \Gamma^i_{jk} = g^{il}(\dfrac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial x^j} + \dfrac{\partial g_{jl}}{\partial x^k} - \dfrac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l}).\end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

The absolute acceleration of the fluid is given by

$$\begin{array}{l} a^i = \dfrac{\partial w^i}{\partial t} + w^j\nabla_j w^i + 2\varepsilon^{ijk}\omega_j w_k - \omega^2 r^i; \\ a = \dfrac{\partial w}{\partial t} + (w\nabla)w + 2\vec{\omega}\times\vec{w} + \vec{\omega}\times(\vec{\omega}\times\vec{R}),\end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

where $\vec{\omega} = \omega\vec{k}$ is the vector of angular velocity, $\vec{k}$ the unite vector along axis , and $\vec{R}$ the radium vector of the fluid particle. The flow domain Ω_ε occupied by the fluids in the channel in the impeller. The boundary $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon$ of flow domain Ω_ε consists of inflow boundary Γ_{in}, out flow boundary Γ_{out}, positive blade's surface S_+, negative blade's surface S_- and top wall Γ_t and Bottom wall Γ_b:

$$\partial\Omega_\varepsilon = \Gamma = \Gamma_{in} \cup \Gamma_{out} \cup S_- \cup S_+ \cup \Gamma_t \cup \Gamma_b \tag{3.5}$$

Boundary conditions are given by

$$\begin{cases} w|_{S_-\cup S_+} = 0, \qquad w|_{\Gamma_b} = 0, w|_{\Gamma_t} = 0, \\ \sigma^{ij}(w,p)n_j|_{\Gamma_{in}} = g^i_{in}, \quad \sigma^{ij}(w,p)n_j|_{\Gamma_{out}} = g^i_{out}(\text{Natural conditions}) \\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} + \lambda(T - T_0) = 0\text{where } \lambda \geq 0 \text{ is constant,} \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

If the fluid is incompressible and flow is stationary then

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{div} w = 0, \\ (w\nabla)w + 2\vec{\omega}\times\vec{w} + \nabla p - \nu\mathrm{div}(e(w)) = -\vec{\omega}\times(\vec{\omega}\times\vec{R}) + f, \\ w|_{\Gamma_0} = 0, \quad \Gamma_0 = S_+ \cup S_- \cup \Gamma_t \cup \Gamma_b, \\ (-pn + 2\nu e(w))|_{\Gamma_{in}} = g_{in}, \quad \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_{in} \cup \Gamma_{0ut}, \\ (-pn + 2\nu e(w))|_{\Gamma_{out}} = g_{out}, \\ w|_{t=0} = w_0(x), \quad \Omega_\varepsilon, \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

For the polytropic ideal gas and flow is stationary, system (3.1) turns to the conservation form

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{div}(\rho w) = 0, \\ \mathrm{div}(\rho w \otimes w) + 2\rho\omega \times w + R\nabla(\rho T) \\ = \quad \mu\Delta w + (\lambda + \mu)\nabla\mathrm{div} w - \rho\omega\times(\omega\times\vec{R}), \\ \mathrm{div}[\rho(\frac{|w|^2}{2} + c_v T + RT)w] \\ \quad = \kappa\Delta T + \lambda\mathrm{div}(w\mathrm{div} w) + \mu\mathrm{div}[w\nabla w] + \frac{\mu}{2}\Delta|w|^2, \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

while for isentropic ideas gases, it turns

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{div}(\rho w) = 0, \\ \mathrm{div}(\rho w \otimes w) + 2\rho\omega \times w + \alpha\nabla(\rho^\gamma) \\ \quad = 2\mu\mathrm{div}(e) + \lambda\nabla\mathrm{div} w - \rho\omega\times(\omega\times\vec{R}), \\ \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

where $\gamma > 1$is the specific heat radio and α a positive constant.

The rate of work done by the impeller and global dissipative energy are given by

$$I(S, w(S)) = \int\int_{S_-\cup S_+} \sigma\cdot n\cdot e_\theta \omega r \mathrm{d}S, \quad J(S, w(S)) = \int\int\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \Phi(w)\mathrm{d}V \tag{3.10}$$

where e_θ is base vector along the angular direction in a polar cylindrical coordinate system.

Let us employ new coordinate system defined by (2.2). Flow's domain Ω_ε is mapped into $\Omega = D \times [-1, 1]$, where D is a domain in $(x^1, x^2) \in \Re^2$ surround by four arc $\widehat{AB}, \widehat{CD}, \widehat{CB}, \widehat{DA}$ such that

$$\partial D = \gamma_0 \cup \gamma_1, \quad \gamma_0 = \widehat{AB} \cup \widehat{CD}, \quad \gamma_1 = \widehat{CB} \cup \widehat{DA},$$

and there exist four positive functions $\gamma_0(z), \widetilde{\gamma}_0(z), \gamma_1(z), \widetilde{\gamma}_1(z)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{l} r := x^2 = \gamma_0(x^1) = \gamma_0(z) \quad \text{on}\, \widehat{AB}, \quad x^2 = \widetilde{\gamma}_0(x^1) \quad \text{on}\, \widehat{CD} \\ r := x^2 = \gamma_1(x^1) = \gamma_1(z) \quad \text{on}\, \widehat{DA}, \quad x^2 = \widetilde{\gamma}_1(x^1) \quad \text{on}\, \widehat{BC}, \\ r_0 \le \gamma_0(z) \le r_1 \quad \text{on} \quad \widehat{AB}, \quad r_0 \le \widetilde{\gamma}_0(z) \le r_1 \quad \text{on}\, \widehat{CD}, \\ r_0 \le \gamma_1(z) \le r_1 \quad \text{on}\, \widehat{DA}, \quad r_0 \le \widetilde{\gamma}_1(z) \le r_1 \quad \text{on}\, \widehat{BC}. \end{array} \tag{3.11}$$

Let

$$\begin{array}{l} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{in} = \vec{\Re}(\Gamma_{in}), \widetilde{\Gamma}_{out} = \vec{\Re}(\Gamma_{out}), \widetilde{\Gamma}_b = \vec{\Re}(\Gamma_b), \widetilde{\Gamma}_t = \vec{\Re}(\Gamma_t), \\ \widetilde{\Gamma}_1 = \widetilde{\Gamma}_{out} \cup \widetilde{\Gamma}_{in}, \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_0 = \widetilde{\Gamma}_b \cup \widetilde{\Gamma}_t \cup \{\xi = 1\} \cup \{\xi = -1\}, \end{array} \tag{3.12}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \partial D = \gamma_0 \cup \gamma_1, \quad \partial\Omega = \widetilde{\Gamma}_0 \cup \widetilde{\Gamma}_1, \\ \gamma_0 = (D \cap \widetilde{\Gamma}_b) \cup (D \cap \widetilde{\Gamma}_t), \quad \gamma_1 = (D \cup \widetilde{\Gamma}_{out}) \cup (D \cup \widetilde{\Gamma}_{in}), \end{array} \tag{3.13}$$

where $\vec{\Re}$ is defined by (2.1).

Let denote

$$V(\Omega) := \{v|, v \in H^1(\Omega)^3, \, v|_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_0} = 0\}, H^1_\Gamma(\Omega) = \{q|, q \in H^1(\Omega), \, q|_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_0} = 0\}. \tag{3.14}$$

The variational formulations for Navier-Stokes problem (3.7) and (3.9) are respectively given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find}\, (w, p), w \in V(\Omega), p \in L^2(\Omega), \text{such that} \\ a(w, v) + 2(\omega \times w, v) + b(w, w, v) + \\ \qquad -(p, \text{div} v) = \langle F, v \rangle, \quad \forall\, v \in V(\Omega), \\ (q, \text{div} w) = 0, \quad \forall\, q \in L^2(\Omega), \end{array} \right. \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find}\, (w, \rho), w \in V(\Omega), \rho \in L^\gamma(\Omega), \text{such that} \\ a(w, v) + 2(\omega \times w, v) + b(\rho w, w, v) + \\ \qquad +(-\alpha p + \lambda \text{div} w, \text{div} v) = \langle F, v \rangle, \quad \forall\, v \in V(\Omega), \\ (\nabla q, \rho w) = \langle \rho w n, q \rangle|_{\Gamma_1}, \quad \forall\, q \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega), \end{array} \right. \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle F, v \rangle := < f, v > + < \widetilde{g}, v >_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_1}, \\ \langle \widetilde{g}, v \rangle = \langle g_{in}, v \rangle|_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{in}} + \langle g_{out}, v \rangle|_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{out}}, \\ a(w, v) = \int_\Omega A^{ijkm} e_{ij}(w) e_{km}(v) \sqrt{g} dx d\xi, \\ b(w, w, v) = \int_\Omega g_{km} w^j \nabla_j w^k v^m \sqrt{g} dx d\xi, \end{array} \tag{3.17}$$

Next we rewrite (3.7) and (3.9) in new coordinate system. Because second kind of Christoffel symbols in new coordinate system are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = -r\delta_{2\alpha}\Theta_\beta\Theta_\gamma, \quad \Gamma^\alpha_{3\beta} = -\varepsilon r \delta_{2\alpha}\Theta_\beta, \\ \Gamma^3_{\alpha\beta} = \varepsilon^{-1} r^{-1} (\delta_{2\alpha}\delta^\lambda_\beta + \delta_{2\beta}\delta^\lambda_\alpha)\Theta_\lambda + \varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_{\alpha\beta} + \varepsilon^{-1} r \Theta_2 \Theta_\alpha \Theta_\beta, \\ \Gamma^3_{3\alpha} = \Gamma^3_{\alpha 3} = r^{-1}\delta_{2\alpha} + r\Theta_2\Theta_\alpha \quad \Gamma^\alpha_{33} = -\varepsilon^2 r \delta_{2\alpha}, \quad \Gamma^3_{33} = \varepsilon r \Theta_2. \end{array} \right. \tag{3.18}$$

the covariant derivatives of the velocity field $\nabla_i w^j = \frac{\partial w^j}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma^j_{ik} w^k$ can be expressed as

Lemma 3.1. *Under the curvilinear coordinate system (x^1, x^2, ξ) defined by (2.4), the covariant derivatives of the velocity field can be expressed as*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \nabla_\alpha w^\beta = \frac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha} - r\delta^\beta_2 \Theta_\alpha \Pi(w,\Theta), \\ \nabla_\alpha w^3 = \frac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\alpha} + \varepsilon^{-1}(x^2)^{-1} w^2 \Theta_\alpha + \varepsilon^{-1} w^\beta \Theta_{\alpha\beta} + (\varepsilon x^2)^{-1} a_{2\alpha} \Pi(w,\Theta), \\ \nabla_3 w^\alpha = \frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial \xi} - x^2 \varepsilon \delta_{2\alpha} \Pi(w,\Theta), \\ \nabla_3 w^3 = \frac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} + \frac{w^2}{x^2} + x^2 \Theta_2 \Pi(w,\Theta), \\ divw = \frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + \frac{w^2}{x^2} + \frac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi}, \quad \Pi(w,\Theta) = \varepsilon w^3 + w^\beta \Theta_\beta. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.19}$$

and the deformation tensors are given by

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} e_{ij}(w) = \varphi_{ij}(w) + \psi_{ij}(w,\Theta); \\ \psi_{ij}(w,\Theta) = \psi^\lambda_{ij}(w)\Theta_\lambda + \psi^{\lambda\sigma}_{ij}(w)\Theta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma + e^*_{ij}(w,\Theta), \end{array}\right. \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w) & = \dfrac{1}{2}(\dfrac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} + \dfrac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha}), \\ \varphi_{3\alpha}(w) & = \dfrac{1}{2}(\dfrac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial \xi} + \varepsilon^2 r^2 \dfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\alpha}), \quad \varphi_{33}(w) = \varepsilon^2 r^2 (\dfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} + \dfrac{w^2}{r}), \end{array}\right. \tag{3.21}$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \psi^\lambda_{\alpha\beta}(w) & = \dfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon r^2 (\dfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\alpha}\delta^\lambda_\beta + \dfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\beta}\delta^\lambda_\alpha), \\ \psi^\lambda_{3\alpha}(w) & = \dfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon r^2 (\dfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial x^\alpha} + \delta^\lambda_\alpha(\dfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} + \dfrac{2}{r}w^2)), \quad \psi^\lambda_{33}(w) = \varepsilon r^2 \dfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial \xi}, \\ \psi^{\lambda\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(w) & = \dfrac{1}{2} r^2 (\dfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial x^\alpha}\delta_{\beta\sigma} + \dfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial x^\beta}\delta_{\sigma\alpha} + \dfrac{2}{r}w^2\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\delta_{\sigma\beta}), \\ \psi^{\lambda\sigma}_{3\alpha}(w) & = \frac{1}{2} r^2 \dfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial \xi}\delta_{\alpha\sigma}, \quad \psi^{\lambda\sigma}_{33}(w) = 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.22}$$

$$e^*_{\alpha\beta}(w,\Theta) = \tfrac{1}{2} r^2 w^\sigma (\Theta_\alpha \Theta_{\sigma\beta} + \Theta_\beta \Theta_{\sigma\alpha}), e^*_{3\alpha}(w) = \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon r^2 w^\sigma \Theta_{\sigma\alpha}, e^*_{33}(w) = 0. \tag{3.23}$$

The proof is omitted here.

By simply tensor calculations in terms of (3.19) (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\begin{array}{l} A^{ijkl} e_{kl}(w) e_{ij}(v) \\ = e_{\alpha\beta}(w) e_{\alpha\beta}(v) + 2(g^{33}\delta^{\alpha\beta} + \varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta) e_{3\alpha}(w) e_{3\beta}(v) \\ + g^{33} g^{33} e_{33}(w) e_{33}(v) - 2\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\beta (e_{\alpha\beta}(w) e_{3\alpha}(v) + e_{\alpha\beta}(v) e_{3\alpha}(w)) \\ -2\varepsilon^{-1} g^{33}\Theta_\alpha (e_{33}(w) e_{3\alpha}(v) + e_{33}(v) e_{3\alpha}(w)) \\ +\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta (e_{\alpha\beta}(w) e_{33}(v) + e_{\alpha\beta}(v) e_{33}(w)) = A^{ijkl}\varphi_{kl}(w)\varphi_{ij}(v) \\ + A^{ijkl}[\varphi_{kl}(w)\psi_{ij}(v,\Theta) + \psi_{kl}(w,\Theta)\varphi_{ij}(v) + \psi_{kl}(w,\Theta)\psi_{ij}(v,\Theta)], \end{array} \tag{3.24}$$

and

$$\int_\Omega A^{ijkl}\varphi_{kl}(w)\varphi_{ij}(v) r\varepsilon d\xi dx = ((w,v)) + \int_\Omega B(w,v,\Theta) d\xi dx,$$

where

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} ((w,v)) = \int\limits_\Omega 2\mu \left[\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w)\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(v) + 2(r\varepsilon)^{-2}\varphi_{3\alpha}(w)\varphi_{3\beta}(v) + (r\varepsilon)^{-4}\varphi_{33}(w)\varphi_{33}(v)\right] r\varepsilon d\xi dx, \\ \|w\|^2_\Omega := ((w,w)), \\ a(w,v) = ((w,v)) + \int\limits_\Omega 2\nu \left[B(w,v,\Theta) + A(w,v,\Theta)\right] r\varepsilon d\xi dx, \end{array}\right. \tag{3.25}$$

and

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} B(w,v,\Theta) & = 2\mu[2\varepsilon^{-2}(|\nabla\Theta|^2\delta_{\alpha\beta}+\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta)\varphi_{3\alpha}(w)\varphi_{3\beta}(v) \\ & +\varepsilon^{-4}r^{-2}|\nabla\Theta|^2(1+a)\varphi_{33}(w)\varphi_{33}(v) \\ & -2\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\beta(\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w)\varphi_{3\alpha}(v)+\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(v)\varphi_{3\alpha}(w)) \\ & -2\varepsilon^{-1}g^{33}\Theta_\alpha(\varphi_{33}(w)\varphi_{3\alpha}(v)+\varphi_{33}(v)\varphi_{3\alpha}(w)) \\ & +\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta(\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w)\varphi_{33}(v)+\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(v)\varphi_{33}(w))], \\ A(w,v,\Theta): & = A^{ijkl}[\varphi_{kl}(w)\psi_{ij}(v,\Theta)+\varphi_{ij}(v)\psi_{kl}(w,\Theta)+\psi_{ij}(w,\Theta)\psi_{ij}(v,\Theta)] \end{array}\right. \tag{3.26}$$

In particular,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} B(w,w,\Theta) & = 2\mu[2\varepsilon^{-2}(|\nabla\Theta|^2\delta_{\alpha\beta}+\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta)\varphi_{3\alpha}(w)\varphi_{3\beta}(w) \\ & +\varepsilon^{-4}r^{-2}|\nabla\Theta|^2(1+a)\varphi_{33}(w)\varphi_{33}(w)-4\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\beta\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w)\varphi_{3\alpha}(w) \\ & -4\varepsilon^{-1}g^{33}\Theta_\alpha\varphi_{33}(w)\varphi_{3\alpha}(w)+2\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w)\varphi_{33}(w)], \\ A(w,w,\Theta) & = A^{ijkl}[2\varphi_{kl}(w)\psi_{ij}(w,\Theta)+\psi_{ij}(w,\Theta)\psi_{ij}(w,\Theta)] \end{array}\right. \tag{3.27}$$

Next we consider trilinear form. Using (3.19), we have

$$\begin{array}{l} w^j\nabla_j w^\beta = w^\alpha\frac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha}+w^3\frac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial\xi}-r\delta_{2\beta}\Pi(w,\Theta)\Pi(w,\Theta), \\ w^j\nabla_j w^3 = w^\alpha\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\alpha}+w^3(\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial\xi}+\frac{w^2}{r})+\varepsilon^{-1}w^\alpha w^\beta\Theta_{\alpha\beta} \\ \quad +\varepsilon^{-1}r\Theta_2\Pi(w,\Theta)\Pi(w,\Theta)+\varepsilon^{-1}r^{-1}w^2(w^\alpha\Theta_\alpha+\Pi(w,\Theta)), \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{array}{l} b(w,w,v) \\ =\int_D\int_{-1}^1 g_{km}w^j\nabla_j w^k v^m\sqrt{g}d\xi dx=\int_D\int_{-1}^1\{[a_{\alpha\beta}(w^\lambda\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial x^\lambda}+w^3\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial\xi}) \\ +\varepsilon r^2\Theta_\beta(w^\lambda\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\lambda}+w^3(\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial\xi}+\frac{w^2}{r}))-r\delta_{2\beta}\Pi(w,\Theta)\Pi(w,\Theta) \\ +r^2w^\sigma w^\lambda\Theta_{\sigma\lambda}\Theta_\beta+r\Theta_\beta w^2(w^\lambda\Theta_\lambda+\Pi(w,\Theta))]v^\beta \\ +\varepsilon r^2[\Theta_\alpha(w^\lambda\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial x^\lambda}+w^3\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial\xi})+\varepsilon(w^\lambda\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\lambda}+w^3(\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial\xi}+\frac{w^2}{r})) \\ +w^\alpha w^\beta\Theta_{\alpha\beta}+w^2(w^\alpha\Theta_\alpha+\Pi(w,\Theta))]v^3\}r\varepsilon d\xi dx \end{array} \tag{3.28}$$

By similar manner, angular velocity vector is given by

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \vec{\omega}=\omega\vec{e_1}-\varepsilon^{-1}\omega\Theta_1\vec{e_3}, \\ 2\vec{\omega}\times\vec{w} \\ =-2r\omega\delta_{\alpha2}(\varepsilon w^3+w^\beta\Theta_\beta)\vec{e_\alpha}+2r\omega\varepsilon^{-1}[\Theta_2(\varepsilon w^3+w^\beta\Theta_\beta)+r^{-2}w^2]\vec{e_3} \\ =-2r\omega\delta_{\alpha2}\Pi(w,\Theta)\vec{e_\alpha}+2r\omega\varepsilon^{-1}[\Theta_2\Pi(w,\Theta)+r^{-2}w^2]\vec{e_3}, \end{array}\right. \tag{3.29}$$

while Coliali form

$$\begin{array}{l} C(w,v) \\ :=\int_D\int_{-1}^1 2g_{ij}(\vec{\omega}\times w)^i v^j\sqrt{g}d\xi dx \\ =\int_D\int_{-1}^1[2a_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{\omega}\times w)^\alpha v^\beta+2\varepsilon(x^2)^2\Theta_\alpha((\vec{\omega}\times w)^\alpha v^3+(\vec{\omega}\times w)^3v^\alpha) \\ +2\varepsilon^2(x^2)^2(\vec{\omega}\times w)^3v^3]\varepsilon x^2d\xi dx \\ =\int_D\int_{-1}^1 2r\omega[(w^2\Theta_\beta-\delta_{2\beta}\Pi(w,\Theta))v^\beta+\varepsilon w^2v^3]r\varepsilon d\xi dx \end{array} \tag{3.30}$$

It is very easy to verify that

$$C(w,w)=0. \tag{3.31}$$

Throughout this paper, Latin indices and exponents $i, j, k \cdots$ vary in the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$, while Greek indices and exponents $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \cdots$ vary in the set $\{1, 2\}$. Furthermore, the summation convention with respect to repeated indices or exponents is systematically used in conjunction with this rule.

LEMMA 3.2. *The function $\|\cdot\|_\Omega$ defined by (3.25) is a norm in Hilbert space*

$$V(\Omega) := \{v \in H^1(D)^3, v|_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_1} = 0, \} \tag{3.32}$$

Proof. Indeed it is enough to prove that

$$\|w\|_\Omega = 0, \forall\, w \in V(\Omega) \Rightarrow w = 0.$$

This means that

$$\|w\|_\Omega = 0, \text{i.e., } \varphi_{ij}(w) = 0.$$

we have to prove $w = 0$. Firstly, the following identity is held

$$\partial_\gamma(\partial_\alpha w^\beta) = \partial_\gamma \varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w) + \partial_\alpha \varphi_{\gamma\beta}(w) - \partial_\beta \varphi_{\alpha\gamma}(w).$$

This shows that

$$\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w) = 0, \text{ in } D \Rightarrow \partial_\gamma \partial_\alpha w^\beta = 0, \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(D).$$

By a classical result from distribution theory, each function w is therefore a polynomial of degree≤ 1(recall that the set D is connect). In other words, there exist constants c_α and $d_{\alpha\beta}$ such that

$$w^\alpha(x) = c_\alpha + d_{\alpha\beta} x^\beta,\ \forall\, x = (x^1, x^2) \in D,$$

But $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w) = 0$ also implies that $d_{\alpha\beta} = -d_{\beta\alpha}$; hence there exist two vectors $\vec{c}, \vec{d} \in \Re^2$ such that

$$w = \vec{c} + \vec{d} \times \vec{x}, \forall\, x \in D,$$

Since $w|_{\widetilde{\Gamma}} = 0$ and the set where such a vector field w^α vanishes is always of zero area unless $\vec{c} = \vec{d} = 0$, it follows that $w^\alpha = 0$ when area $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0 > 0$. On the other hand, in view of boundary condition (3.13)

$$\varphi_{33}(w) = \varepsilon^2 r^2 (\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} + \frac{w^2}{r}) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} = 0 \Rightarrow w^3 = 0,$$

The proof is complete. □

LEMMA 3.3. *The norm $\|\cdot\|_\Omega$ and the norm*

$$|w|^2_{1,\Omega} = \int\limits_\Omega [\sum_{i=1}^3 (\sum_{\alpha=1}^2 (\frac{\partial w^i}{\partial x^\alpha})^2 + (\frac{\partial w^i}{\partial \xi})^2)] r \varepsilon d\xi dx, \quad \forall w \in V(\Omega),$$

are equivalent in $V(\Omega)$,i.e. there exist a constant $C_i(\Omega) > 0, i = 1, 2$ depending upon Ω only such that

$$C_1(\Omega)|w|_{1,\Omega} \leq \|w\|_\Omega \leq C_2(\Omega)|w|_{1,\Omega}, \quad \forall\, w \in V(\Omega), \tag{3.33}$$

Proof. Firstly we indicate that in view of (3.11)(3.12) we assert that there exist a constant $C_i(\Omega) > 0, i = 1, 2$ depending upon Ω only such that

$$C_1(\Omega)(\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \|\varphi_{ij}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega})^{1/2} \leq \|w\|_\Omega \leq C_2(\Omega)(\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \|\varphi_{ij}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega})^{1/2}, \quad \forall\, w \in V(\Omega),$$

and $\varphi_{ij}(w)$ can be looked as strain tensor in Cartesian coordinates in $\Re^3$ then according to Korn's inequality (see [14][15]) the $(\sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{3} \|\varphi_{ij}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega})^{1/2}$ is equivalent to $\|w\|_{1,\Omega}$, therefor this reach to (3.33). The proof is complete. □

THEOREM 3.1. *Under the new coordinate system, the stationary Navier-Stokes equations can be explicitly expressed as* Θ*:*

$$\begin{cases} div(w) = \frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + \frac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} + \frac{w^2}{r} = 0, \\ \mathcal{N}^i(w, p, \Theta) := \mathcal{L}^i(w, p, \Theta) + \mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}^i(u, u) = f^i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \end{cases} \tag{3.34}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}^\alpha(w, p, \Theta) := -\nu[\widetilde{\Delta} w^\alpha - 2\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\beta \tfrac{\partial^2 w^\alpha}{\partial \xi \partial x^\beta} + (r\varepsilon)^{-2} a \tfrac{\partial^2 w^\alpha}{\partial (\xi)^2} + r^{-1} \tfrac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial x^2} \\ &\quad -2r\varepsilon\delta_{2\alpha}\Theta_\lambda \tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\lambda} - (r\varepsilon)^{-1}(\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\Theta_2 + 2\delta_{2\alpha}\Theta_\lambda + r\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\widetilde{\Delta}\Theta)\tfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial \xi} - 2r^{-1}\delta_{2\alpha}\tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} \\ &\quad -2\varepsilon\delta_{2\alpha} a\Theta_2 w^3 + \delta_{2\alpha}(r^{-2}\delta_{2\sigma} - 2\Theta_2\Theta_\sigma - a_{2\sigma}|\widetilde{\nabla}\Theta|^2 - r\Theta_\lambda\Theta_{\lambda\sigma})w^\sigma)] \\ &\quad -2r\delta_{2\alpha}\omega\Pi(w, \Theta) + \nabla_\alpha p - \varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\alpha \tfrac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}, \\ &\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}^\alpha(w, w) := w^\beta \tfrac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} + w^3 \tfrac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial \xi} - r\delta_{2\alpha}\Pi(w, \Theta)\Pi(w, \Theta), \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}^3(w, p, \Theta) := -\nu[\widetilde{\Delta} w^3 - 2\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\beta \tfrac{\partial^2 w^3}{\partial \xi \partial x^\beta} + (r\varepsilon)^{-2} a \tfrac{\partial^2 w^3}{\partial \xi^2} + 3r^{-1}\delta_{2\beta}\tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\beta} \\ &\quad +\varepsilon^{-2}r^{-3} a \tfrac{\partial w^2}{\partial \xi} + 2(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(\delta_{2\beta}\Theta_\lambda + r\Theta_{\beta\lambda})\tfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial x^\beta} \\ &\quad -(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(\Theta_2 + r\widetilde{\Delta}\Theta)\tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} + (r\varepsilon)^{-1}(r^{-1}\delta_{2\alpha}\Theta_2 + 3\Theta_{2\alpha} + r\partial_\alpha\widetilde{\Delta}\Theta)w^\alpha] \\ &\quad -2r\omega\varepsilon^{-1}\Pi(w, \Theta)\Theta_2 - 2(\varepsilon r)^{-1}w^2 - \varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\beta\partial_\beta p + (r\varepsilon)^{-1} a \tfrac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}, \\ &\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}^\alpha(w, w) := w^\beta \tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\beta} + w^3 \tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} + \varepsilon^{-1} w^\beta w^\lambda \Theta_{\beta\lambda} + (r\varepsilon)^{-1}\Pi(w, \Theta)(2w^2 \\ &\quad +r^2\Theta_2\Pi(w, \Theta)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta}\varphi = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^1 \partial x^1} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2}, \quad \hat{\varepsilon}^{\alpha\beta} = +1, -1, 0$ *depending on* $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 2), (2, 1),$ *or other case. Under the new coordinate system the domain* $\Omega = \{(x^1, x^2) \in D, -1 \leq \xi \leq 1\}$ *is independent of geometry of blade's surface* Θ*.*

Proof. Substituting (3.19)(2.5)(2.6) and (3.20) into (3.7), tensor calculations show that (3.14) is valid. The details is omitted here. □

By using above formulae we claim that there exist of the Gateaux derivative of the solution of Navier-Stokes equations to be satisfy following linearized Navier-Stokes equation

THEOREM 3.2. *Assume that there exists a solution* $(w(\Theta), p(\Theta))$ *of Navier-Stokes problem (3.7) such that define a mapping* $\Theta \Rightarrow (w(\Theta), p(\Theta))$ *from* $H^1_0(D) \cap H^2(D)$ *to* $H^{1,q}(\Omega) \times L^{2,q}(\Omega)$*. Then there exist the Gâteaux derivatives of* (w, p) *at a point* $\Theta \in H^1_0(D) \cap H^2(D)$ *with respect to any direction* $\eta \in H^1_0(D) \cap H^2(D)$*:*$\hat{w} \doteq \dfrac{\mathcal{D}w}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta, \hat{p} \doteq$

$\dfrac{\mathcal{D}p}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta$ *and satisfy following linearized equations:*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} div(\hat{w})=0 \\ \mathcal{L}^i(\hat{w},\widehat{p},\Theta)+\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}^i(w,\widehat{w})+\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}^i(\widehat{w},w)=R^i(w,p) \\ \hat{w}|_{\Gamma_s}=0, \quad \sigma^{ij}(\hat{w},\hat{p})n_j|_{\Gamma_0}=0. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.37}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} R^\alpha(w,p,\Theta) & =\nu\delta_{2\alpha}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda\partial x^\sigma}(rw^\sigma\Theta_\lambda)+\nu\varepsilon^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial\xi} \\ & +\nu\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[-2(\varepsilon)^{-2}\Theta_\beta\frac{\partial^2 w^\alpha}{\partial\xi^2}+2r\varepsilon\delta_{2\alpha}\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\beta} \\ & +(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\delta_{2\beta}+2\delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{\lambda\beta})\frac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial\xi}+2\varepsilon\delta_{2\alpha}w^3(a\delta_{2\beta}+2r^2\Theta_\beta\Theta_2) \\ & +\delta_{2\alpha}w^\sigma(2\delta_{2\beta}\Theta_\sigma+2\Theta_2\delta_{\beta\sigma}+2r^2(\Theta_2\delta_{\sigma\beta}+\delta_{2\beta}\Theta_\sigma)|\widetilde{\nabla}\Theta|^2 \\ & -2a_{2\sigma}\Theta_\beta-r\Theta_{\beta\sigma})]-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[2r\delta_{2\alpha}(\Pi(w,\Theta)+\omega)w^\beta+\varepsilon^{-1}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial p}{\partial\xi}] \end{array} \tag{3.38}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} R^3(w,p,\Theta) & =\nu\varepsilon^{-1}(-\widetilde{\Delta}(\frac{w^2}{r})+3\partial_{2\alpha}(r^{-1}w^\alpha))+\varepsilon^{-1}\partial_{\beta\lambda}(w^\beta w^\lambda)-2\nu\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}(\Theta_\beta\frac{\partial^2 w^3}{\partial\xi^2}) \\ & -\nu(\varepsilon)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[2(r\varepsilon)^{-1}\Theta_\beta\frac{\partial w^2}{\partial\xi}+2r^{-1}\frac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial x^2}-r^{-1}\delta_{2\beta}\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial\xi}+r^{-2}\delta_{2\beta}w^2] \\ & +\varepsilon^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[2w^\beta\frac{w^2}{r}-2\omega r\omega\delta_{2\beta}\Pi(w,\Theta) \\ & +r(\Pi(w,\Theta)-\omega)(2\Theta_2w^\beta-\delta_{2\beta}\Pi(w,\Theta))]+\varepsilon^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}p+2\varepsilon^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}(r\Theta_\beta\frac{\partial p}{\partial\xi}) \end{array} \tag{3.39}$$

Proof. The Navier-Stokes equations (3.24) read

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}+\frac{w^2}{r}+\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^3}=0, \\ \mathcal{N}^\alpha(w,p,\Theta)\vec{e}_\alpha+\mathcal{N}^3(w,p,\Theta)\vec{e}_3=f^\alpha\vec{e}_\alpha+f^3\vec{e}_3. \end{array} \tag{3.40}$$

Set Gateaux derivative with respect with Θ along any director $\eta\in\mathcal{W}:=H^2(D)\cap H_0^1(D)$ denoted by $\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta$. Then from (3.40) we obtain

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\mathcal{N}^\alpha(w,p,\Theta)\vec{e}_\alpha\eta+\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\mathcal{N}^3(w,p,\Theta)\vec{e}_3\eta+\mathcal{N}^\alpha(w,p,\Theta)\frac{\mathcal{D}\vec{e}_\alpha}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta+\mathcal{N}^3(w,p,\Theta)\frac{\mathcal{D}\vec{e}_3}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta \\ \quad =f^\alpha\frac{\mathcal{D}\vec{e}_\alpha}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\vec{e}_\alpha\eta+f^3\frac{\mathcal{D}\vec{e}_3}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\vec{e}_3\eta, \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\mathcal{N}^\alpha(w,p,\Theta)\vec{e}_\alpha+\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\mathcal{N}^3(w,p,\Theta)\vec{e}_3+[\mathcal{N}^\alpha(w,p,\Theta)-f^\alpha]\frac{\mathcal{D}\vec{e}_\alpha}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}+[\mathcal{N}^3(w,p,\Theta)-f^3]\frac{\mathcal{D}\vec{e}_3}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\vec{e}_3=0, \end{array}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\mathcal{N}^\alpha(w,p,\Theta)\eta=\mathcal{L}^\alpha(\widehat{w},\widehat{p},\Theta)\eta+\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}^\alpha(w,\widehat{w})\eta+\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}^\alpha(\widehat{w},w)\eta+R^\alpha(w,p,\Theta)\eta=0, \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\mathcal{N}^3(w,p,\Theta)\eta=\mathcal{L}^3(\widehat{w},p,\Theta)\eta++\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}^3(w,\widehat{w})\eta+\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}^3(\widehat{w},w)\eta+R^3(w,p,\Theta)\eta=0, \end{array}$$

where $R^i(w,p,\Theta)$ can be obtain from (3.22)(3.23). Indeed, direction calculations from

(3.22) show

$$\begin{aligned}
&-R^\alpha\eta = -\nu[-2\varepsilon^{-1}\tfrac{\partial^2 w^\alpha}{\partial\xi\partial x^\beta} + 2(r\varepsilon)^{-2}r^2\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial^2 w^\alpha}{\partial(\xi)^2} - 2r\varepsilon\delta_{2\alpha}\tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\beta}]\eta_\beta\\
&\quad -\nu[-(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\eta_2 + 2\delta_{2\alpha}\eta_\lambda + r\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\widetilde{\Delta}\eta)\tfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial\xi} - 2\varepsilon\delta_{2\alpha}a\eta_2 w^3 - 4\varepsilon\delta_{2\alpha}r^2\Theta_\beta\Theta_2 w^3\eta_\beta\\
&\quad +\delta_{2\alpha}(-2\eta_2\Theta_\sigma - 2\Theta_2\eta_\sigma - 2r^2(\Theta_2\eta_\sigma + \eta_2\Theta_\sigma)|\widetilde{\nabla}\Theta|^2 - 2a_{2\sigma}\Theta_\beta\eta_\beta - r\eta_\lambda\Theta_{\lambda\sigma} - r\Theta_\lambda\eta_{\lambda\sigma})w^\sigma)]\\
&\quad -[r\delta_{2\alpha}((\Pi(w,\Theta) + 2\omega)w^\beta + w^\beta\Pi(w,\Theta)) + \varepsilon^{-1}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\tfrac{\partial p}{\partial\xi}]\eta_\beta\\
&\quad = \nu(-r\delta_{2\alpha}w^\sigma\Theta_\lambda\eta_{\lambda\sigma} + \varepsilon^{-1}\tfrac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial\xi}\widetilde{\Delta}\eta) + \nu[2\varepsilon^{-1}\tfrac{\partial^2 w^\alpha}{\partial\xi\partial x^\beta} - 2(r\varepsilon)^{-2}r^2\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial^2 w^\alpha}{\partial(\xi)^2} + 2r\varepsilon\delta_{2\alpha}\tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\beta}]\eta_\beta\\
&\quad +\nu[(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\delta_{2\beta} + 2\delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{\lambda\beta})\tfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial\xi} + 2\varepsilon\delta_{2\alpha}w^3(a\delta_{2\beta} + 2r^2\Theta_\beta\Theta_2)]\eta_\beta\\
&\quad +\nu\delta_{2\alpha}(2\delta_{2\beta}\Theta_\sigma + 2\Theta_2\delta_{\beta\sigma} + 2r^2(\Theta_2\delta_{\sigma\beta} + \delta_{2\beta}\Theta_\sigma)|\widetilde{\nabla}\Theta|^2 - 2a_{2\sigma}\Theta_\beta - r\Theta_{\beta\sigma})w^\sigma)\eta_\beta\\
&\quad -[2r\delta_{2\alpha}(\Pi(w,\Theta) + \omega)w^\beta + \varepsilon^{-1}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\tfrac{\partial p}{\partial\xi}]\eta_\beta
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into accounts of the homogenous boundary conditions for the η and integrating by parts and using Green formula and incompressible condition

$$\nu\varepsilon^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial\xi} - 2\nu\varepsilon^{-1}\frac{\partial^3 w^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta\partial x^\beta\partial\xi} = -\nu\varepsilon^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial\xi},$$

we claim

$$\begin{aligned}
R^\alpha(w,p,\Theta) \quad &= \nu\delta_{2\alpha}\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda\partial x^\sigma}(rw^\sigma\Theta_\lambda) + \nu\varepsilon^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}\tfrac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial\xi} + \nu\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[2(\varepsilon)^{-1}\tfrac{\partial^2 w^\alpha}{\partial\xi\partial x^\beta} - 2\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial^2 w^\alpha}{\partial\xi^2} + 2r\varepsilon\delta_{2\alpha}\tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\beta}]\\
&+\nu\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\delta_{2\beta} + 2\delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{\lambda\beta})\tfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial\xi} + 2\varepsilon\delta_{2\alpha}w^3(a\delta_{2\beta} + 2r^2\Theta_\beta\Theta_2)]\\
&+\nu\delta_{2\alpha}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[(2\delta_{2\beta}\Theta_\sigma + 2\Theta_2\delta_{\beta\sigma} + 2r^2(\Theta_2\delta_{\sigma\beta} + \delta_{2\beta}\Theta_\sigma)|\widetilde{\nabla}\Theta|^2 - 2a_{2\sigma}\Theta_\beta - r\Theta_{\beta\sigma})w^\sigma]\\
&-\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[2r\delta_{2\alpha}(\Pi(w,\Theta) + \omega)w^\beta + \varepsilon^{-1}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\tfrac{\partial p}{\partial\xi}]
\end{aligned}$$

This is (3.25). Next we consider (3.26). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
-R^3\eta \quad &= -\nu[-2\varepsilon^{-1}\eta_\beta\tfrac{\partial^2 w^3}{\partial\xi\partial x^\beta} + (r\varepsilon)^{-2}2r^2\Theta_\beta\eta_\beta\tfrac{\partial^2 w^3}{\partial\xi^2} + \varepsilon^{-2}r^{-3}2r^2\Theta_\beta\eta_\beta\tfrac{\partial w^2}{\partial\xi}\\
&+2(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(\delta_{2\beta}\eta_\lambda + r\eta_{\beta\lambda})\tfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial x^\beta}\\
&-(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(\eta_2 + r\widetilde{\Delta}\eta)\tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial\xi} + (r\varepsilon)^{-1}(r^{-1}\delta_{2\alpha}\eta_2 + 3\eta_{2\alpha} + r\partial_\alpha\widetilde{\Delta}\eta)w^\alpha] + \varepsilon^{-1}w^\beta w^\lambda\eta_{\beta\lambda}\\
&+(r\varepsilon)^{-1}w^\beta\eta_\beta(2w^2 + r^2\Theta_2\Pi(w,\Theta) - 2\omega(x^2)^2\Theta_2)\\
&+(r\varepsilon)^{-1}\Pi(w,\Theta)(r^2\eta_2\Pi(w,\Theta) + r^2\Theta_2 w^\beta\eta_\beta - 2\omega(x^2)^2\eta_2) - \varepsilon^{-1}\eta_\beta\partial_\beta p + (r\varepsilon)^{-1}2r^2\Theta_\beta\eta_\beta\tfrac{\partial p}{\partial\xi}\\
&= -\nu(\varepsilon)^{-1}[2\tfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial x^\beta}\eta_{\beta\lambda} - \tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial\xi}\widetilde{\Delta}\eta + 3r^{-1}w^\alpha\eta_{2\alpha} + w^\alpha\partial_\alpha\widetilde{\Delta}\eta] + \varepsilon^{-1}w^\beta w^\lambda\eta_{\beta\lambda}\\
&+\nu\varepsilon^{-1}[2\tfrac{\partial^2 w^3}{\partial x^\beta\partial\xi} - 2\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial^2 w^3}{\partial\xi^2} - 2(r\varepsilon)^{-1}\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial w^2}{\partial\xi} - 2r^{-1}\tfrac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial x^2} + r^{-1}\delta_{2\beta}\tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial\xi} - r^{-2}\delta_{2\beta}w^2]\eta_\beta\\
&+(r\varepsilon)^{-1}[(2w^2 + r^2\Theta_2\Pi(w,\Theta) - 2\omega r^2\Theta_2)w^\beta\\
&+\Pi(w,v\Theta)(r^2\delta_{2\beta}\Pi(w,\Theta) + r^2\Theta_2 w^\beta - 2\omega r^2\delta_{2\beta}) - r\partial_\beta p + 2r^2\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial p}{\partial\xi}]\eta_\beta,
\end{aligned}$$

By similar manner and

$$\partial^2_{\beta\lambda}\frac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial x^\beta} = \widetilde{\Delta}\frac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda}$$

we assert

$$\begin{aligned}R^3(w,p,\Theta) \quad & = \nu\varepsilon^{-1}(\widetilde{\Delta}(2\tfrac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda} - \tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} - \tfrac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}) + 3\partial_{2\alpha}(r^{-1}w^\alpha)) + \varepsilon^{-1}\partial_{\beta\lambda}(w^\beta w^\lambda)\\
& +2\nu\varepsilon^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}\tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} - 2\nu\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}(\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial^2 w^3}{\partial \xi^2})\\
& -\nu(\varepsilon)^{-1}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[2(r\varepsilon)^{-1}\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial w^2}{\partial \xi} + 2r^{-1}\tfrac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial x^2} - r^{-1}\delta_{2\beta}\tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} + r^{-2}\delta_{2\beta}w^2]\\
& +\varepsilon^{-1}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[rw^\beta(2\tfrac{w^2}{r^2} + 2\Theta_2(\Pi(w,\Theta)-\omega)) + r\delta_{2\beta}\Pi(w,\Theta)(\Pi(w,\Theta)-2\omega]\\
& +\varepsilon^{-1}\Delta p + 2\varepsilon^{-1}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}(r\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial p}{\partial \xi})\\
& = \nu\varepsilon^{-1}(-\widetilde{\Delta}(\tfrac{w^2}{r}) + 3\partial_{2\alpha}(r^{-1}w^\alpha)) + \varepsilon^{-1}\partial_{\beta\lambda}(w^\beta w^\lambda) - 2\nu\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}(\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial^2 w^3}{\partial \xi^2})\\
& -\nu(\varepsilon)^{-1}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[2(r\varepsilon)^{-1}\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial w^2}{\partial \xi} + 2r^{-1}\tfrac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial x^2} - r^{-1}\delta_{2\beta}\tfrac{\partial w^3}{\partial \xi} + r^{-2}\delta_{2\beta}w^2]\\
& +\varepsilon^{-1}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}[rw^\beta(2\tfrac{w^2}{r^2} + 2\Theta_2(\Pi(w,\Theta)-\omega)) + r\delta_{2\beta}\Pi(w,\Theta)(\Pi(w,\Theta)-2\omega]\\
& +\varepsilon^{-1}\Delta p + 2\varepsilon^{-1}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}(r\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial p}{\partial \xi})\end{aligned}$$

This is (3.26). The proof is complete. □

Associational variational formulation is given by

$$\begin{cases}\text{Find}\,(\widehat{w},\widehat{p}) \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)\,\text{such that}\\
a(\widehat{w},v) + b(w;\widehat{w},v) + b(\widehat{w};w,v) + 2(\omega\times\widehat{w},v) - (\widehat{p},\text{div}v)\\
\qquad = \int_\Omega R(w,p)vdV := (R(w,p),v), \quad \forall \quad v \in H^1_\Gamma(\Omega),\\
(q,\text{div}\widehat{w}) = o, \quad \forall\, q \in L^2(\Omega),\end{cases} \tag{3.41}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}(R(w,p),v) \quad & = \int_\Omega g_{ij}R^iv^jdV = \int_\Omega[a_{\alpha\beta}R^\alpha(w,p,\Theta)v^\beta\\
& +\varepsilon r^2(R^\alpha(w,p,\Theta)v^3 + R^3(w,p,\Theta)v^\alpha) + \varepsilon^2r^2R^3(w,p,\Theta)v^3]r\varepsilon dxd\xi,\end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

For the compressible case,

$$\begin{cases}\text{div}(\hat{w}\rho + w\widehat{\rho}) = 0\\
\text{div}(\rho\widehat{w}^iw + \rho w^i\widehat{w} + \widehat{\rho}ww^i) + 2\widehat{\rho}(\omega\times w)^i + 2\rho(\omega\omega\times\widehat{w})^i + ag^{ij}\nabla_j(\gamma\rho^{\gamma-1}\widehat{\rho})\\
\quad -\nabla_j(A^{ijkm}e_{km}(\hat{w})) = S^i(w,\rho)\end{cases} \tag{3.43}$$

where

$$S^i(w,p,\Theta) = -\partial_\beta S^{i;\beta}(w,p;\Theta) + \partial^2_{\lambda\sigma}S^{i;(\lambda,\sigma)}(w,p;\Theta), \tag{3.44}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&S^{\alpha;\beta}(w,p,\Theta) = \{r\delta^\alpha_2[(\delta^\beta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma + \delta^\beta_\sigma\Theta_\lambda)w^\lambda w^\sigma + 2\varepsilon w^3w^\beta] + 2\rho r\omega\delta_{\alpha 2}w^\beta - \varepsilon^{-1}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\tfrac{\partial(a\rho^\gamma)}{\partial\xi}\\
&\quad +2\nu\varepsilon^{-1}[-\Theta_\alpha g^{jm}\nabla_je^\beta_{3m}(w) - (\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta + \delta_{\alpha\beta}g^{33})\nabla_3e_{33}(w)\\
&\quad +\varepsilon^{-1}(\Theta_\alpha\delta_{\beta\lambda} + \Theta_\lambda\delta_{\alpha\beta})\nabla_\lambda e_{33}(w) + \varepsilon^{-1}(\Theta_\alpha\delta_{\beta\lambda} + 2\Theta_\beta\delta_{\alpha\lambda} + \Theta_\lambda\delta_{\alpha\beta})\nabla_3e_{3\lambda}(w)\\
&\quad -\delta_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\lambda e_{3\lambda}(w) - \nabla_\beta e_{3\alpha}(w) - \nabla_3e_{\alpha\beta}(w)\\
&\quad -r^{-1}(\delta_{\alpha2}\delta_{\beta\lambda} + 3\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\delta_{\beta2})(e_{3\lambda}(w) - \varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\lambda e_{33}(w))]\}\\
&S^{\alpha;(\lambda,\sigma)}(w,p,;\Theta) = -2\nu\varepsilon^{-1}[(\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\gamma\lambda} + \delta_{\alpha\gamma}\delta_{\beta\lambda})(e_{3\gamma}(w) - \varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\gamma e_{33}(w))\\
&\quad +\Theta_\alpha g^{jm}(\nabla_je^{\beta\lambda}_{3m}(w,\Theta)]\end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S^{3;\beta}(w,p,\Theta)=\{-[(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(\delta_{2\lambda}\delta^{\beta}_{\sigma}+\delta_{2\sigma}\delta^{\beta}_{\lambda}+r^2(\delta^{\beta}_{2}\Theta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma+\Theta_2\delta^{\beta}_{\sigma}\Theta_\lambda+\Theta_2\Theta_\sigma\delta^{\beta}_{\lambda}))w^\lambda w^\sigma\\
&\quad+2r(\delta^{\beta}_{\lambda}\Theta_2+\delta^{\beta}_{2}\Theta_\lambda)w^3w^\lambda+r\varepsilon\delta^{\beta}_{2}w^3w^3]\\
&\quad+2r\omega((w^3+\varepsilon^{-1}w^\lambda\Theta_\lambda)\delta_{\beta 2}+\varepsilon^{-1}w^\beta\Theta_2)-\varepsilon^{-1}\nabla_\beta p+2\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\beta\tfrac{\partial p}{\partial\xi}\\
&\quad+2\nu g^{3k}g^{jm}\nabla_j e^{\beta}_{km}(w)+2\nu\varepsilon^{-1}[g^{33}(4\varepsilon^{-1}\nabla_3 e_{33}(w)-\nabla_\beta e_{33}(w))+\nabla_\lambda e_{\beta\lambda}(w)\\
&\quad+\varepsilon^{-1}(2\delta_{\beta\lambda}\nabla_\sigma e_{3\sigma}(w)-\nabla_\lambda e_{3\beta}(w)+\nabla_\beta e_{3\lambda}(w))\Theta_\lambda\\
&\quad-2\varepsilon^{-2}(2\nabla_3 e_{3\lambda}(w)+\nabla_\lambda e_{33}(w))]-2\nu[-(r\varepsilon)^{-1}e_{2\beta}(w)\\
&\quad+e_{3\gamma}(w)[\varepsilon^{-2}r^{-1}(\delta_{\gamma 2}\Theta_\beta-3\delta_{\beta 2}\Theta_\gamma)+r\varepsilon^{-2}(\delta_{\beta\gamma}\Theta_2-\delta_{2\beta}\Theta_\gamma)|\nabla\Theta|^2]\\
&\quad+e_{33}(w)(r\varepsilon)^{-3}\delta_{2\beta}(4+3r^2|\nabla\Theta|^2)]\}\\
&S^{3;(\lambda,\sigma)}(w,p,;\Theta)=\{2\nu[\varepsilon^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma+\delta_{\lambda\sigma}g^{33})e_{33}(w)-\varepsilon^{-2}(\Theta_\lambda\delta_{\gamma\sigma}+\Theta_\gamma\delta_{\lambda\sigma})e_{3\gamma}(w)]\\
&\quad-\varepsilon^{-2}w^\lambda w^\sigma+2\nu g^{3k}g^{jm}\nabla_j e^{\lambda\sigma}_{km}(w,\Theta)\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.46}
$$

4. A Principle of Geometric Design for Blade's Surface. We consider naturedly to choice global dissipative energy to be object functional for geometric design of the blade surface. The global dissipative energy functional is given by

$$
\begin{cases}
\Phi(w,v) &= A^{ijkl}e_{kl}(w)e_{ij}(v),\\
J(S) &= 1/2\int\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\int\Phi(w(S),w(S))\mathrm{d}V=\int\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\int A^{ijkl}e_{kl}(w)e_{ij}(w)\sqrt{g}dxd\xi,\\
A^{ijkl} &= \lambda g^{ij}g^{kl}+\mu(g^{ik}g^{jl}+g^{il}g^{jk}),
\end{cases}
\tag{4.1}
$$

where $\Omega=D\times[-1,1]$ and Ω_ε is flow passage in Turbomachinery bounded by $\Gamma_t\cup\Gamma_b\cup\Gamma_{in}\cup\Gamma_{out}\cup S_+\cup S_-$. We proposal a principle for geometric design of the blade:

$$
\begin{cases}
\text{Find a surface}\,\Im\text{ of the blade such that}\\
J(\Im)=\inf\limits_{S\in\mathcal{F}}J(S),
\end{cases}
\tag{4.2}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes a set of regular surfaces spanning on a given Jordannian curve $C\in R^3$. The $\Im$ is called a "general minimal surface which achieves a minimum of object dissipative energy functional. In other words, from mathematical point of view, this minimum problem of geometric sharp of the surface of the blade is a general minimal surface problem.

Note that (4.2) is also an optimal control problem with distribute parameters, control variable is the surface of the blade and the Navier-Stokes equations are the state equations of this control problem.

In sequel, we prove that equation (2.7) is the Eular-Lagrange equations of optimal problem and Navier-Stokes equations are its state equations.

In order to investigate optimal control problem (4.2) we should consider the object functional J in a fixed domain in new coordinate system.

Let $\widehat{w}\eta=\frac{\mathcal{D}w}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}(\Theta)\eta$ denote Gateaux derivative of w at Θ in direction $\eta\in V(\Omega)$.Then according to (3.20) , we have following lemma

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that (w,p) is a solution of Navier-Stokes equations (3.1)(3.6) associated with $\Theta\in H^1(D)$ which define a mapping $(w(\Theta),p(\Theta)$ from $W(D)=H^1_0(D)\cap H^2(D)$ to $H^1(\Omega)^3\times L^2(\Omega)$:$\Theta\Rightarrow(w(\Theta),p(\Theta))$. Then $(w(\Theta),p(\Theta))$ is Gateaux differential in $H^1(D)$ with respect to all direction $\eta\in W(D)$. The Gateaux derivatives $\widehat{w}\eta=\frac{\mathcal{D}w}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta,\widehat{p}\eta=\frac{\mathcal{D}p}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta$ are a solution of (3.37). Then the strain rate tensor $e_{ij}(w)$ defined by (3.2) possesses Gateaux derivatives $\frac{\mathcal{D}e_{ij}(w)}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}(\Theta)\eta$ at any point $\Theta\in H^2(D)$ along every direction $\eta\in W(D)$, and*

$$
\frac{\mathcal{D}e_{ij}(w)}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta=e_{ij}(\widehat{w})\eta+e^{\lambda}_{ij}(w)\eta_\lambda+e^{\lambda\sigma}_{ij}(w)\eta_{\lambda\sigma},
\tag{4.3}
$$

where

$$\begin{array}{l} e^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}(w) = \psi^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}(w) + (\psi^{\lambda\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(w) + \psi^{\sigma\lambda}(w))\Theta_\sigma + \frac{1}{2}r^2 w^\sigma(\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\Theta_{\sigma\beta} + \delta_{\beta\lambda}\Theta_{\alpha\sigma}), \\ e^{\lambda\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(w) = \frac{1}{2}r^2 w^\sigma(\Theta_\alpha\delta_{\beta\lambda} + \Theta_\beta\delta_{\alpha\lambda}), \\ e^{\lambda}_{\alpha 3}(w) = \psi^{\lambda}_{\alpha 3}(w) + (\psi^{\nu\lambda}_{3\alpha} + \psi^{\lambda\nu}_{3\alpha})\Theta_\nu, \quad e^{\lambda\sigma}_{3\alpha}(w) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon r^2 w^\sigma \delta_{\alpha\lambda}, \\ e^{\lambda}_{33}(w) = \psi^{\lambda}_{33}(w), \quad e^{\lambda\sigma}_{33}(w) = 0. \end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. From (3.20) we claim

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\mathcal{D}e_{ij}(w)}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta = e_{ij}(\widehat{w})\eta + \varphi^{\lambda}_{ij}(w)\eta_\lambda + \varphi^{\lambda\sigma}_{ij}(\eta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma + \Theta_\lambda\eta_\sigma) + R_{ij}(w,\Theta)\eta, \\ R_{\alpha\beta}(w,\Theta)\eta = \frac{1}{2}r^2 w^\sigma[(\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\Theta_{\sigma\beta} + \delta_{\beta\lambda}\Theta_{\alpha\sigma})\eta_\lambda + (\delta_{\beta\lambda}\Theta_\alpha + \delta_{\alpha\lambda}\Theta_\beta)\eta_{\lambda\sigma}], \\ R_{3\alpha}(w,\Theta)\eta = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon r^2 w^\sigma\delta_{\alpha\lambda}, \quad R_{33}(e,\Theta) = 0. \end{array}$$

This leads to (4.3) and (4.4). The proof is completed. □

LEMMA 4.2. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 4.1, the dissipative functions* $\Phi(w)$ *defined by (4.1) is Gateaux differential at* $\Theta \in H^2(D)$ *along any direction* $\eta \in W$*, and*

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}\Phi(w)}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta = \Phi^0(\widehat{w}, w)\eta + \Phi^\lambda(w,\Theta)\eta_\lambda + \Phi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta)\eta_{\lambda\sigma}, \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Phi^0(\widehat{w}, w) & = 2A^{ijkl}e_{ij}(\widehat{w})e_{kl}(w), \\ \Phi^\lambda(w,\Theta) & = 2A^{ijkl}e^{\lambda}_{ij}(w)e_{kl}(w) + M^\lambda(w,\Theta), \\ \Phi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta) & = 2A^{ijkl}e^{\lambda\sigma}_{ij}(w)e_{kl}(w), \end{array} \right. \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} M^\lambda(w,\Theta) & = 4\varepsilon^{-2}(\Theta_\lambda\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \Theta_\alpha\delta_{\beta\lambda})e_{3\alpha}(w)e_{3\beta}(w) \\ & +4\varepsilon^{-4}r^{-2}a\Theta_\lambda e_{33}(w)e_{33}(w) - 4\varepsilon^{-1}e_{3\alpha}(w)e_{\alpha\lambda}(w) \\ & -4\varepsilon^{-3}r^{-2}(2r^2\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\lambda + (r^2|\nabla\Theta|^2 + 1)\delta_{\alpha\lambda})e_{33}(w)e_{3\alpha}(w). \end{array} \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. Indeed, taking (3.24) and (4.3) into account,

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}A^{ijkl}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta e_{ij}(w)e_{kl}(w) = M^\lambda(w,\Theta)\eta_\lambda, \tag{4.8}$$

where $M^\lambda(w,\Theta)$ is defined by (4.7). Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{\mathcal{D}\Phi(w)}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta & = 2A^{ijkl}\frac{\mathcal{D}e_{ij}(w)}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta e_{kl}(w) + \frac{\mathcal{D}A^{ijkl}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta e_{ij}(w)e_{kl}(w), \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}\Phi(w)}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta & = 2A^{ijkl}e_{ij}(\widehat{w})e_{kl}(w)\eta + (2A^{ijkl}e^{\lambda}_{ij}(w) + M^\lambda(w,\Theta))\eta_\lambda \\ & +2A^{ijkl}e^{\lambda\sigma}_{ij}(w)e_{kl}(w)\eta_{\lambda\sigma}, \end{array} \tag{4.9}$$

From this it yields (4.5)(4.6). The proof is complete. □

THEOREM 4.1. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 3.2 the object functional* J *defined by (4.1) has a Gâteaux derivative* $gradJ \equiv \dfrac{\mathcal{D}J}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}$ *in every direction* $\eta \in W := H^2(D) \cap H^1_0(D)$*. Furthermore* $gradJ$ *is determined by*

$$\begin{array}{l} < grad_\Theta(J(\Theta)), \eta >= \int\int_D[\hat{\Phi}^0(w;\hat{w})\eta + \hat{\Phi}^\lambda(w,\Theta)\eta_\lambda + \hat{\Phi}^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta)\eta_{\lambda\sigma} \\ \quad +2\mu r^2 W^{\nu\sigma}\Theta_{\nu\lambda}\eta_{\lambda\sigma}]\varepsilon r dx^1 dx^2, \end{array} \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \hat\Phi^0(w;\hat w) & =\int_{-1}^1\Phi^0(w;\hat w)d\xi, \qquad \widehat\Phi^\lambda(w,\Theta)=\int_{-1}^1\Phi^\lambda(w,\Theta)d\xi,\\ \widehat\Phi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta) & =2\nu r^2(\varepsilon W^{\sigma 3}_\lambda+W^{\sigma\nu}_\lambda\Theta_\nu),\\ W^{\alpha\beta} & =\int_{-1}^1 w^\alpha w^\beta d\xi, \quad W^{\alpha\beta}_\lambda:=\int_{-1}^1 w^\alpha\frac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial x^\lambda}d\xi. \end{array}\right. \tag{4.11}$$

where $\hat w=\dfrac{\mathcal{D}w}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}$ *are the Gâteaux of the solution* (w) *of Navier-Stokes equations at point* Θ, Φ^0,Φ^λ *are defined by (4.6).*

Proof. Indeed, taking into account of (4.5) and (4.6) we assert that

$$\begin{array}{l} <\operatorname{grad}_\Theta(J(\Theta)),\eta>=\int\int_D\int_{-1}^1\frac{\mathcal{D}\Phi(w,\Theta)}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}\eta\varepsilon r d\xi dx\\ \quad =\int\limits_D\int_{-1}^1 2\nu[\Phi^0(\widehat w,w)\eta+\Phi^\lambda(w,\Theta)\eta_\lambda+\Phi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta)\eta_{\lambda\sigma}]r\varepsilon d\xi dx\\ \quad =\int\limits_D 2\mu[\hat\Phi^0(w;\hat w)\eta+\hat\Phi^\lambda(w,\Theta)\eta_\lambda+\hat\Phi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta)\eta_{\lambda\sigma}+2\mu r^2W^{\nu\sigma}\Theta_{\nu\lambda}\eta_{\lambda\sigma}]\varepsilon r dx^1dx^2, \end{array} \tag{4.12}$$

where we need to show only last two terms in (4.12). In fact, from (4.4) (4.6) and (3.24)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Phi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta) & =2A^{ijkl}e^{\lambda\sigma}_{ij}(w)e_{kl}(w)=4\nu\{e^{\lambda\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(w)e_{\alpha\beta}(w)+2(g^{33}\delta^{\alpha\beta}+\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta)e^{\lambda\sigma}_{3\alpha}(w)e_{3\beta}(w)\\ & +g^{33}g^{33}e^{\lambda\sigma}_{33}(w)e_{33}(w)-2\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\beta(e^{\lambda\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(w)e_{3\alpha}(w)+e_{\alpha\beta}(w)e^{\lambda\sigma}_{3\alpha}(w))\\ & -2\varepsilon^{-1}g^{33}\Theta_\alpha(e^{\lambda\sigma}_{33}(w)e_{3\alpha}(w)+e_{33}(w)e^{\lambda\sigma}_{3\alpha}(w))\\ & +\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta(e^{\lambda\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(w)e_{33}(w)+e_{\alpha\beta}(w)e^{\lambda\sigma}_{33}(w))\}, \end{array}$$

In view of $e^{\lambda\sigma}_{33}(w)=0$ therefore, make rearrangement,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Phi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta) & =4\nu\{e^{\lambda\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(w)(e_{\alpha\beta}(w)-2\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\beta e_{3\alpha}(w)+\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta e_{33}(w))\\ & +2e^{\lambda\sigma}_{3\alpha}(w)((g^{33}\delta^{\alpha\beta}+\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta)e_{3\beta}(w)-\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\beta e_{\alpha\beta}(w)-\varepsilon^{-1}g^{33}\Theta_\alpha e_{33}(w))\}. \end{array}$$

Substituting (4.4) into above leads to

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Phi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta) & =2\nu r^2w^\sigma[(2\varepsilon^{-2}|\nabla\Theta|^2-2g^{33})\Theta_\lambda e_{33}(w)\\ & +2\varepsilon(g^{33}\delta_{\lambda\beta}+\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\lambda\Theta_\beta-\varepsilon^{-2}(\Theta_\beta\Theta_\lambda+|\nabla\Theta|^2\delta_{\beta\lambda})e_{3\beta}(w))]\\ & =4\nu\varepsilon^{-2}w^\sigma[\varepsilon e_{3\lambda}(w)-\Theta_\lambda e_{33}(w)]\\ & =4\nu\varepsilon^{-2}w^\sigma[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\frac{\partial w^\lambda}{\partial\xi}+\varepsilon^2r^2\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\lambda})+\frac12\varepsilon^2r^2(\frac{\partial w^\nu}{\partial x^\lambda}-\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial\xi}\delta_{\lambda\nu})\Theta_\nu\\ & -\frac12\varepsilon r^2\frac{\partial w^\nu}{\partial\xi}\Theta_\nu\Theta_\lambda+\frac12\varepsilon^2r^2w^\nu\Theta_{\lambda\nu}], \end{array} \tag{4.13}$$

By virtue of (3.20-22) and the index (λ,σ) in $\Phi^{\lambda\sigma}\eta_{\lambda\sigma}$ being symmetry,

$$\int_{-1}^1 w^\sigma\partial_\xi w^\lambda d\xi=\int_{-1}^1\frac12\partial_\xi(w^\lambda w^\sigma)d\xi=\frac12 w^\lambda w^\sigma|_{\xi=1,-1}=0, \text{(by boundary conditions)}$$

we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \int_{-1}^1\Phi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta)d\xi & =2\nu r^2\int_{-1}^1 w^\sigma[\varepsilon\frac{\partial w^3}{\partial x^\lambda}+\frac{\partial w^\nu}{\partial x^\lambda}\Theta_\nu]d\xi+2\nu r^2W^{\nu\sigma}\Theta_{\lambda\nu}\\ & =2\nu r^2[\varepsilon W^{\sigma3}_\lambda+W^{\sigma\nu}_\lambda\Theta_\nu+W^{\nu\sigma}\Theta_{\lambda\nu}]. \end{array}$$

The proof is complete. □

Taking integration by part of (4.10) and considering homogenous boundary condition for $\eta\in W(D)$,it implies

$$<\operatorname{grad}_\Theta(J(\Theta)),\eta>=\int\limits_D\varepsilon[\partial_{\lambda\sigma}(2\mu r^3W^{\sigma\nu}\Theta_{\nu\lambda}+r\widehat\Phi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta))-\partial_\lambda(r\widehat\Phi^\lambda(w,\Theta))+r\widehat\Phi^0(w,\widehat w)]\eta dx.$$

From above discussion we obtain directly Theorem 2.1 The Euler-Lagrange equation for the extremum Θ of J is given by:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda \partial x^\sigma}(2\nu r^3 W^{\nu\sigma}\frac{\partial^2\Theta}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\lambda}) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda \partial x^\sigma}(r\hat{\Phi}^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta)) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}(r\hat{\Phi}^\lambda(w,\Theta)) + \hat{\Phi}^0(w,\widehat{w})r = 0, \\ \Theta|_\gamma = \Theta_0, \quad \frac{\partial\Theta}{\partial n}|_\gamma = \Theta_*, \end{array}\right. \tag{4.14}$$

and variational formulation associated with (4.14) reads

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text{Find}\Theta \in V(D) = \{q|q \in H^2(D), q|_\gamma = \Theta_0, \frac{\partial q}{\partial n}|_\gamma = \Theta_*\}\text{such that} \\ \int_D\{(\mathcal{K}^{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma}\Theta_{\alpha\beta} + \hat{\Phi}^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta))\eta_{\lambda\sigma} + \widehat{\Phi}^\lambda(w,\Theta)\eta_\lambda + \widehat{\Phi}^0(w,\widehat{w})\eta\}\varepsilon r dx, \quad \forall \eta \in H_0^2(D), \end{array}\right. \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}^{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma} := 2\nu r^3 W^{\alpha\sigma}\delta_{\beta\lambda}. \tag{4.16}$$

5. Second Model. Let us choice the rate of work done by the impeller under certain ratio of inlet and outlet pressure as minimizing functional (3.10)

$$I(\Im) = \int\int_{\Im_-\cup\Im_+} \sigma\cdot n\cdot e_\theta r\omega dS, \tag{5.1}$$

where n is unite normal vector to the surface $\Im$ and (e_r, e_θ, k) are bases vector in rotating cylinder coordinate system, σ is total stress tensor for compressible flow. Our purpose is to find a surface $\Im$ of blade such that

$$I(\Im) = \inf_{S\in\mathcal{F}} I(S), \tag{5.2}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes a set of regular surface spanning on a given Jordannian curve $C \in R^3$. Under new coordinate system (5.1) can be rewritten by

$$\begin{array}{r} I(\Im) = \int_D\{[((-p+\lambda\text{div}w)g^{ij} + 2\mu e_{ij}(w))n^i(e_\theta)^j\omega r\sqrt{a}]|_{\xi=-1} \\ +[((-p+\lambda\text{div}w)g^{ij} + 2\mu e_{ij}(w))n^i(e_\theta)^j\omega r\sqrt{a}]|_{\xi=-1}\}r\varepsilon dx, \end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

Next we compute (5.3). To do this, we give the followings without proof:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} n(\xi) = n^i(\xi)e_i, \quad n^\lambda(\xi) = -r\Theta_\lambda/\sqrt{a}, \quad n^3(-1) = (\varepsilon r)^{-1}\frac{1+r^2\Theta_2^2}{\sqrt{a}}, \\ n^3(1) = -(\varepsilon r)^{-1}\frac{1+r^2\Theta_2^2}{\sqrt{a}}, \quad e_\theta = (r\varepsilon)^{-1}e_3, \quad (e_\theta)^\alpha = 0, \quad (e_\theta)^3 = (r\varepsilon)^{-1}. \end{array}\right. \tag{5.4}$$

Thanks to (2.5) and (5.4) we claim that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} (-p+\lambda\text{div}w)g_{ij}n^i(e_\theta)^j = (-p+\lambda\text{div}w)(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(g_{33}n^3 + g_{3\lambda}n^\lambda) \\ \quad = (-p+\lambda\text{div}w)(r\varepsilon n^3 + r\Theta_\alpha n^\alpha), \quad \text{for } \xi = \pm 1, \\ 2\mu e_{ij}(w)n^i(e_\theta)^j = 2\mu(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(e_{33}(w)n^3 + e_{3\lambda}(w)n^\lambda), \end{array}\right. \tag{5.5}$$

Substituting (5.4)(5.5) into (5.3) and simple calculations lead to

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} I(\Im) = \int_D\{(1+r^2\Theta_2^2)(-2\widetilde{P} + 2\lambda\text{div}\widetilde{W}) - r^2|\nabla\Theta|^2(-2P + 2\lambda\text{div}W) \\ \quad +2\mu(r\varepsilon)^{-1}((r\varepsilon)^{-1}(1+r^2\Theta_2^2)e_{33}(\widetilde{W}) - r\Theta_\alpha e_{3\alpha}(W))\}r\omega\varepsilon r dx, \end{array}\right. \tag{5.6}$$

where we use notation

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} w|_{\xi=-1} = w(-1), \quad w|_{\xi=1} = w(1), \quad W = (w(1)+w(-1))/2, \quad \widetilde{W} = (w(-1)-w(1))/2, \\ P = (p(1)+p(-1))/2, \quad \widetilde{P} = (p(-1)-p(1))/2, \\ W_\Theta := \frac{\mathcal{D}W}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}, \quad P_\Theta := \frac{\mathcal{D}P}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}, \quad \widetilde{W}_\Theta := \frac{\mathcal{D}\widetilde{W}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}, \quad \widetilde{P}_\Theta := \frac{\mathcal{D}\widetilde{P}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}, \end{array}\right. \tag{5.7}$$

Hence

$$\widetilde{P}-P=-p(-1), \widetilde{W}-W=-w(-1), \tag{5.8}$$

and in view of Lemma 3.2, the gradient of I along any direction $\eta \in H_0^1(D)$ is given by

THEOREM 5.1. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 3.2 the object functional I defined by (5.1) has a Gâteaux derivative $gradI \equiv \dfrac{\mathcal{D}I}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}$ in every direction $\eta \in H_0^1(D)$. Furthermore gradI is determined by*

$$< gradI(\Im), \eta >= \int_D \{[\Psi_0(w,p,\Theta)\eta + \Psi^\lambda(w,p,\Theta)\eta_\lambda + \Psi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,p,\Theta)\eta_{\lambda\sigma}]r\omega\varepsilon r\}dx, \tag{5.9}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_0(w,p,\Theta) := (1+r^2\Theta_2^2)(-2\widetilde{P}_\Theta + 2\lambda div\widetilde{W}_\Theta) - r^2|\nabla\Theta|^2(-2P_\Theta + 2\lambda divW_\Theta) \\ \quad +2\mu(r\varepsilon)^{-1}((r\varepsilon)^{-1}(1+r^2\Theta_2^2)e_{33}(\widetilde{W_\Theta}) - r\Theta_\alpha e_{3\alpha}(W_\Theta)), \\ \Psi^\lambda(w,p,\Theta) = \Psi_0^\lambda(w,p) + \Psi_\nu^\lambda(w,p)\Theta_\nu + \Psi_{\nu\mu}^\lambda(w,p)\Theta_\nu\Theta_\mu + \Psi_*^\lambda(w,p,\Theta), \\ \Psi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,p,\Theta) := -2\mu\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\alpha e_{3\alpha}^{\lambda\sigma}(W) = -\mu r^2 W^\sigma\Theta_\lambda, \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_0^\lambda(w,p) & = 2\mu((r\varepsilon)^{-2}\psi_{33}^\lambda(\widetilde{W}) - \varepsilon^{-1}\varphi_{3\lambda}(W)), \\ \Psi_\nu^\lambda(w,p) & = [-2r^2(-2P + 2\lambda divW)\delta_{\lambda\nu} + (2r^2(-\widetilde{P} + 2\lambda div\widetilde{W}) \\ & \quad +2\varepsilon^{-2}\varphi_{33}(\widetilde{W}))\delta_{2\lambda}\delta_{2\nu} - 2\mu\varepsilon^{-1}(\psi_{3\nu}^\lambda(W) + \psi_{3\lambda}^\nu(W))], \\ \Psi_{\nu\mu}^\lambda(w,p) & = 2\mu\varepsilon^{-2}[\psi_{33}^\lambda(\widetilde{W})\delta_{2\nu} + 2\psi_{33}^\nu(\widetilde{W})\delta_{2\lambda}]\delta_{2\mu} \\ & \quad -2\mu\varepsilon^{-1}[\psi_{3\mu}^{\nu\lambda}(W) + \psi_{3\mu}^{\lambda\nu}(W) + \psi_{3\lambda}^{\nu\mu}(W)], \\ \Psi_*^\lambda(w,p,\Theta) & = -\mu r^2 W^\sigma \Theta_{\lambda\sigma}, \end{cases} \tag{5.11}$$

Proof. Firstly, by virtue of (3.21) we assert

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}\mathrm{div}W}{\mathcal{D}\Theta} = \mathrm{div}\frac{\mathcal{D}W}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}.$$

In addition, thanks to (4.7) and denote

$$W_\Theta := \frac{\mathcal{D}W}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}, \quad \widetilde{W}_\Theta := \frac{\mathcal{D}\widetilde{W}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}, \quad P_\Theta := \frac{\mathcal{D}P}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}, \quad \widetilde{P}_\Theta := \frac{\mathcal{D}\widetilde{P}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta},$$

(5.6) shows

$$\begin{aligned} &< \mathrm{grad}_\Theta I, \eta >= \int_D \{2r^2\Theta_2\eta_2(-2\widetilde{P} + 2\lambda\mathrm{div}\widetilde{W}) + (1+r^2\Theta_2)(-2\widetilde{P}_\Theta + 2\lambda\mathrm{div}\widetilde{W}_\Theta)\eta \\ &\quad -2r^2\Theta_\lambda\eta_\lambda(-2P + 2\lambda\mathrm{div}W) - r^2|\widetilde{\nabla}\Theta|^2(-2P_\Theta + 2\lambda\mathrm{div}W_\Theta)\eta \\ &\quad +2\mu(r\varepsilon)^{-1}[(r\varepsilon)^{-1}2r^2\Theta_2\eta_2 e_{33}(\widetilde{W}) + (r\varepsilon)^{-1}(1+r^2\Theta_2^2)\tfrac{\mathcal{D}e_{33}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}(\widetilde{W})\eta - r\eta_\alpha e_{3\alpha}(W) - r\Theta_\alpha\tfrac{\mathcal{D}e_{3\alpha}}{\mathcal{D}\Theta}(\widetilde{W})\eta] \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.7)(4.8) and rewriting integrated we claim the first of (5.10). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^\lambda(w,p,\Theta) \quad & = 2r^2\Theta_2\delta_{2\lambda}(-\widetilde{P} + 2\lambda\mathrm{div}\widetilde{W}) - 2r^2\Theta_\lambda(-2P + 2\lambda\mathrm{div}W) \\ & \quad +2\mu(r\varepsilon)^{-1}[(r\varepsilon)^{-1}(1+r^2\Theta_2)e_{33}^\lambda(\widetilde{W}) + 2r^2(r\varepsilon)^{-1}\delta_{2\lambda}\Theta_2 e_{33}(\widetilde{W}) \\ & \quad -r\Theta_\alpha e_{3\alpha}^\lambda(W) - re_{3\lambda}(W)], \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

$$\Psi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,\Theta) = -2\mu\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\alpha e^{\lambda\sigma}_{3\alpha}(W) = -\mu r^2 W^\sigma\Theta_\lambda.$$

Thanks to

$$e_{3\lambda}(w) = \psi_{3\lambda}(w) + \psi^\nu_{3\lambda}(w)\Theta_\nu + \psi^{\nu\mu}\Theta_\nu\Theta_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon r^2 w^\sigma\Theta_{\lambda\sigma}$$

and Taking (4.8) and (3.16)(3.17) into account, simple calculation from (5.12) shows

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^\lambda(w,p,\Theta) \quad &= 2\mu(r\varepsilon)^{-2}\psi^\lambda_{33}(W) - 2\mu\varepsilon^{-1}\varphi_{3\lambda}(W)\\ &+[(2r^2(-\widetilde{P} + 2\lambda\mathrm{div}\widetilde{W}) + 4\mu\varepsilon^{-2}\varphi_{33}(\widetilde{W}))\delta_{2\lambda}\delta_{2\nu}\\ &-2r^2(-2P + 2\lambda\mathrm{div}W)\delta_{\lambda\nu} - 2\mu\varepsilon^{-1}(\psi^\lambda_{3\nu}(W) + \psi^\nu_{3\lambda}(W))]\Theta_\nu\\ &+[2\mu\varepsilon^{-2}(\psi^\lambda_{33}(W)\delta_{2\nu} + 2\psi^\nu_{33}(W)\delta_{2\lambda})\delta_{2\mu}\\ &-2\mu\varepsilon^{-1}(\psi^{\nu\lambda}_{3\mu}(W) + \psi^{\lambda\nu}_{3\mu}(W) + \psi^{\nu\mu}_{3\lambda}(W))]\Theta_\nu\Theta_\mu\\ &-2\mu(\varepsilon r)^{-1}r\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon r W^\sigma\Theta_{\lambda\sigma},\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

This leads to second of (5.10).

Integrating by parts in (5.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&< Grad_\Theta I, \eta >= \textstyle\int_D[\varepsilon r^2\omega\Psi_0(w,p,\Theta)\\ &\quad -\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}(\varepsilon r^2\omega\Psi^\lambda(w,p,\Theta)) + \tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda\partial x^\sigma}(\varepsilon\omega r^2\Psi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,p,\Theta))]\eta dx\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Hence the stationary point of minimum problem should satisfies following Eular-Lagrange equation

$$\varepsilon r^2\omega\Psi_0(w,p,\Theta) - \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}(\varepsilon r^2\omega\Psi^\lambda(w,p,\Theta)) + \tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda\partial x^\sigma}(\varepsilon\omega r^2\Psi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,p,\Theta)) = 0 \tag{5.15}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}&\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda\partial x^\sigma}(\varepsilon\omega r^2\Psi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,p,\Theta)) = -\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda\partial x^\sigma}(\mu\omega\varepsilon r^4 W^\sigma\Theta_\lambda)\\ &\quad = -\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda\partial x^\sigma}(\mu\omega\varepsilon r^4 W^\sigma)\Theta_\lambda - \mu\varepsilon\omega r^4 W^\sigma\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda\partial x^\sigma}(\Theta_\lambda) - \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}(\mu\omega\varepsilon r^4 W^\sigma)\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\sigma}(\Theta_\lambda)\\ &\quad -\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\sigma}(\mu\omega\varepsilon r^4 W^\sigma)\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}(\Theta_\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

In addition

$$-\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}(\Psi^\lambda_*(w,p,\Theta)) = \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}(\varepsilon\omega\mu r^4 W^\sigma\Theta_\lambda) = \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}(\varepsilon\omega\mu r^4 W^\sigma)\Theta_{\sigma\lambda} + \mu\varepsilon\omega r^4 W^\sigma\Theta_{\sigma\lambda\lambda},$$

Therefore

$$-\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}(\Psi^\lambda_*(w,p,\Theta)) + \tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda\partial x^\sigma}(\varepsilon\omega r^2\Psi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,p,\Theta)) = -\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\lambda\partial x^\sigma}(\mu\omega\varepsilon r^4 W^\sigma)\Theta_\lambda - \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\sigma}(\mu\omega\varepsilon r^4 W^\sigma)\widetilde{\Delta}\Theta,$$

where

$$\widetilde{\Delta}\Theta = \Theta_{11} + \Theta_{22}.$$

On the other hand,

$$-\partial_\lambda(\Psi^\lambda_0 + \Psi^\lambda_\nu\Theta_\nu + \Psi^\lambda_{\mu\nu}\Theta_\nu\Theta_\mu) = -(\partial_\lambda\Psi^\lambda_0 + \partial_\lambda\Psi^\lambda_\nu\Theta_\nu + \partial_\lambda\Psi^\lambda_{\nu\mu}\Theta_\nu\Theta_\mu) + (\Psi^\lambda_\nu + (\Psi^\lambda_{\nu\mu} + \Psi^\lambda_{\mu\nu})\Theta_\mu)\Theta_{\lambda\nu}$$

To sum up and by simply calculation, we obtain Theorem 2.2:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} -(K_0(w)\widetilde{\Delta}\Theta + K^{\lambda\nu}(w,\Theta)\Theta_{\nu\lambda}) + F^{\nu\mu}(w)\Theta_\nu\Theta_\mu + F^\lambda(w)\Theta_\lambda + F_0(w,\Theta) = 0,\\ \Theta|_\gamma = \Theta_0, \quad \gamma = \partial D,\end{array}\right. \tag{5.16}$$

$$\begin{cases} K_0(w) = \mu\varepsilon\omega\frac{\partial(r^4W^\sigma)}{\partial x^\sigma}, \\ K^{\lambda\nu}(w) = \omega\varepsilon r^2(\Psi^\lambda_\nu(w) + (\Psi^\lambda_{\nu\mu}(w) + \Psi^\lambda_{\mu\nu}(w))\Theta_\mu), \\ F^{\nu\mu}(w) = -\omega\varepsilon r(r\partial_\lambda\Psi^\lambda_{\nu\mu}(w) + 2\delta_{2\lambda}\Psi^\lambda_{\nu\mu}(w)), \\ F^\lambda(w) = -(\mu\varepsilon\omega\partial^2_{\nu\sigma}(r^4W^\sigma) + \omega\varepsilon r(r\partial_\lambda\Psi^\lambda_\nu(w) + 2\delta_{2\lambda}\Psi^\lambda_\nu(w)), \\ F_0(w,\Theta) = \omega\varepsilon r^2\Psi_0(w,\Theta) - \omega\varepsilon r(r\partial_\lambda\Psi^\lambda_0(w) + 2\delta_{2\lambda}\Psi^\lambda_0(w)), \end{cases} \tag{5.17}$$

where Ψ_0, Ψ^λ_ν and $\Psi^\lambda_{\nu\mu}$ are defined by (5.10) and (5.11) respectively. Variational formulation associated with (5.16) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find}\,\Theta \in V = \{q|q \in H^1(D), q|_\gamma = \Theta_0\} \quad \text{such that} \\ \int_D\{[\Psi_0(w,p,\Theta)\eta + \Psi^\lambda(w,p,\Theta)\eta_\lambda + \Psi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,p,\Theta)\eta_{\lambda\sigma}]r\omega\varepsilon r\}dx, \end{cases} \tag{5.18}$$

where $\Psi_0(w,p,\Theta), \Psi^\lambda(w,p,\Theta), \Psi^{\lambda\sigma}(w,p,\Theta)$ are defined by (5.10). □

6. Existence of Solution to the Optimal Control Problem. In this section, we discuss existence of the optimal control problem (3.1) for incompressible case. As well known the object functional

$$J(\Theta) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega A^{ijkl}(\Theta)e_{ij}(w(\Theta))e_{kl}(w(\Theta))\sqrt{g}dxd\xi = \frac{1}{2}a(w(\Theta), w(\Theta)), \tag{6.1}$$

is depending upon the existence of solution w to Navier-Stokes equations. Since the solution w of Navier-Stokes equation and A^{ijkl} are the functions of Θ , therefore J is a function of Θ. However J can be read as a function of w : $J(\Theta) = \widetilde{J}(w(\Theta))$. As well known that if there exists Gateaux derivative $\frac{DJ}{D\Theta}$ of $J(\Theta)$ with respect to Θ at Θ^*, Then the minimum point Θ of (3.1) is necessary to satisfies

$$grad_S J(\Theta) = 0.$$

and from this, if Θ is regular then Eular-Lagrange equation for Θ can be obtained.

At first,It is well known that following theorem give a sufficient condition of the existence

Theorem 6.1. *(Generalized Weierstrass Theorem)[13] Let X be a reflexive Banach Space,and U a bounded and weakly closed subset of X. If the functional J is weakly lower semi-continuous on U,then J is bounded from below and J achieves its infirm on U.* We consider functional defined in a closed convex set in a Sobolev space

$$V(\Omega) := \{u|u \in H^{1,p}(\Omega), u|_{\Gamma_0} = 0, \partial\Omega = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1, meas(\Gamma_0) \neq 0\}.$$

Let

$$\widetilde{J}(w) = \int_\Omega A^{ijkl}e_{ij}(w)e_{kl}(w)\sqrt{g}dxd\xi$$

Lemma 6.1. *$\widetilde{J}(w)$ is weak lower semi-continuous with respect to w in $H^1(\Omega)^3$.*

Proof. We firstly need to establish the uniform positive definiteness of the three-dimensional tensor A^{ijkl} . "Uniform" means with respect to all points $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and to symmetric matrices of the order three $\{t_{ij}\}$. Namely, there exist a constant $c(\Omega,\Theta,\mu) > 0$ such that

$$A^{ijkl}(x)t_{kl}t_{ij} \geq c\sum_{i,j}|t_{i,j}|^2. \tag{6.2}$$

See the proof of Th1.8-1 in [P.G.Ciarlet 2000]. Note that Ω is a Lipschitz domain,$g = det(g_{ij}) = \varepsilon^2 r^2 > 0$. Therefore, we claim

$$a(w,w) \geq \nu c(\Theta,\Theta) \sum_{i,j} \|e_{ij}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega}$$

On the other hand, the Korn inequality with boundary conditions in curvilinear coordinates (see Th1.7-4 in [P.G.Ciarlet 2000]) shows that

$$\sum_{i,j} ||e_{ij}(v)||^2_{0,\Omega} \geq c(\Omega,\Theta)||v||^2_{1,\Omega}, \quad \forall v \in V. \tag{6.3}$$

Hence

$$a(w,w) \geq \mu c(\Omega,\Theta)\|w\|^2_{1,\Omega}, \tag{6.4}$$

We assert that $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is a equivalent norm in $H^1(\Omega)^3$. In other words , $\widetilde{J}(\cdot)$ is a equivalent norm in $H^1(\Omega)^3$. As well known that the norm in a Hilbert space , as a functional, is weakly lower semi-continuous. we assert $\widetilde{J}$ is weakly lower semi-continuous with respect to w. Proof is complete. ▯

By virtue of Lemma 3.3 we directly to obtain

Lemma 6.2. *If the function $w(\Theta)$ of Navier-Stokes equations satisfies the following:*

$$\textit{Assumption} \quad \mathbf{P}: \Theta_n \rightharpoonup \Theta_0(\textit{weakly}) \Rightarrow w_n = w(\Theta_n) \rightharpoonup w_0 = w(\Theta_0)(\textit{weakly}).$$

Then functional $J(\Theta)$ is weak lower semi-continuous with respect to Θ.

Finally, we have

Theorem 6.2. *Assume that the solutions (w,p) of Navier-Stokes equations with mixed boundary condition are weakly continuous with respect to Θ.Then there exists a two dimensional surface S defined by a smooth mapping*

$$\Theta : D \longrightarrow \mathcal{W} \equiv H^2(D) \cap (\Theta \in H^1(D), \Theta|_{\partial D} = \Theta^*,)$$

such that $J(\Theta)$ achieves its infirmum at $\{\Theta, w(\Theta)\}$.

Next we consider the existence of the solutions for Navier-Stokes equations. In deed flow's domain is unbounded domain. In section 3 we make artificial boundary, inflow boundary Γ_{in} and outflow boundary Γ_{out}, and impose natural boundary conditions (3.6). We also can impose the pressures

$$p|_{\Gamma_{in}} = p_{in}, \quad p|_{\Gamma_{out}} = p_{out},$$

or flux

$$\int_{\Gamma_{in}} \rho w \cdot n d\Gamma = Q, \quad \int_{\Gamma_{out}} \rho w \cdot n d\Gamma = Q,$$

Let us consider energy inequality. Owing to

$$(2\omega \times w, w) = 0,$$

Hence moment equations (3.11) show

$$a(w,w) + b(w,w,w) = (f,w),$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}b(w,w,w) &= \textstyle\int_\Omega w^j\nabla_j w^i g_{ik}w^k\sqrt{g}dxd\xi = \int_\Omega(\nabla_j(w^jw^i)-w^i\mathrm{div}w)g_{ik}w^k\sqrt{g}dxd\xi\\ &= \textstyle\int_\Omega(\mathrm{div}(|w|^2w)-g_{ik}w^iw^j\nabla_jw^k)\sqrt{g}dxd\xi = \int_{\Gamma_1}|w|^2w\cdot nd\Gamma-b(w,w,w)\end{aligned}$$

$$b(w,w,w)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma_1}|w|^2w\cdot nd\Gamma, \tag{6.5}$$

Here we denote

$$|w|^2=g_{ik}w^iw^k,\quad \Gamma_1=\Gamma_{in}\cup\Gamma_{out}. \tag{6.6}$$

The flux of inflow and outflow kinetic energy are respectively give by

$$K_{in}(w)=\int_{\Gamma_{in}}|w|^2w\cdot nd\Gamma,\quad K_{out}(w)=\int_{\Gamma_{out}}|w|^2w\cdot nd\Gamma$$

where $w\cdot n=g_{ij}w^in^j$ and n is outward normal unite vector to inflow or out flow boundary. Therefore (6.1) shows that

$$b(w,w,w)=K_{out}(w)-K_{in}(w), \tag{6.7}$$

It is obvious that we can not make say $K_{out}(w)<\infty$.

Theorem 6.3. *Suppose that the exterior force f and normal stress g of inflow and out flow boundaries $\Gamma_1=\Gamma_{in}\cup\Gamma_{out}$ satisfy*

$$\|F\|_*:=\|f\|_{0,\Omega}+\|g_{in}\|_{-1/2,\Gamma_{in}}+\|g_{out}\|_{-1/2,\Gamma_{out}}\le\nu^2/(4c_1c_2), \tag{6.8}$$

and the mapping Θ defined in (2.1) is $C^2(D)$-function satisfied by

$$\Theta\in C^2(D,K_0)\equiv\{\theta\in C^2(D),\|\theta_\lambda\|\le K_0;,\|\theta_{\lambda\sigma}\|\le K_0\}, \tag{6.9}$$

where K_0 is a constant. Then there exists a smooth solution of the variational problem (3.11) satisfying

$$\|\nabla w\|_{0,\Omega}\le\frac{c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu}{2c_1}[1-\sqrt{(1-\frac{4c_1c_2\|F\|_*}{(c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu)^2})}], \tag{6.10}$$

where c_1,c_2 are constants depending of (Ω,K_0) defined by (6.14)(6.15).

Proof. To prove the theorem for a steady Navier-Stokes problem, it is convenient to construct the solution as a limit of Galerkin approximations in terms of the eigenfunctions of the corresponding stead Stokes problem. This use of the Stokes eigenfunctions (see in Heywood and Rannacher and Turek[20] and Glowinski[12]). Galerkin equations are a system of algebraic equations for constant unknowns and the Galerkin approximation solution w is a solution of the finite dimensional problem

$$a(w,v)+2(\omega\times w,v)+b(w,w,v)=<F,v>,\forall v\in V_m:=\mathrm{span}\{\varphi_1,\varphi_2\cdots,\varphi_m\} \tag{6.11}$$

where $\varphi_i,i=1,2,\cdots m$ are eigenfunctions of corresponding Stokes operator. Let S_ρ denote the spheres in V_m satisfying inequality (6.10). Assume that $w_*\in S_\rho$, we seeking w such that

$$a(w,v)+2(\omega\times w,v)+b(w_*,w,v)=<F,v>,\forall v\in V_m, \tag{6.12}$$

(6.12) is uniquely solvable because $w_* \in S_\rho$ because the $w = 0$ is only solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation ($F = 0$). Indeed, if w_* satisfies (6.10) and w satisfies (6.12) with the $F = 0$, taking (6.4) and

$$2(\omega \times w, w) = 0 \tag{6.13}$$

into account, and combing (3.19) and (6.11) we assert

$$\begin{aligned} c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu\|\nabla w\|^2_{0,\Omega} &\le |b(w_*, w, w)| \le c_1(\Omega, K_0)\|w_*\|_{L^6}\|\nabla w\|_0\|w\|_2 \le c_1\|\nabla w_*\|_0\|\nabla w\|^2_0 \\ &\le c_1(\Omega, K_0)\tfrac{c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu}{2c_1}\|\nabla w\|^2_0, \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

This implies that $w = 0$. In order to apply Brouwer's fixed point theorem, we have to show the mapping $w_* \Rightarrow w$ take the ball S_ρ defined by (6.10) into itself, suppose that w_* satisfies (6.10). Similarly to (6.13), for nonhomogeneous equation ($F \neq 0$) ,we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu\|\nabla w\|^2_{0,\Omega} \le |b(w_*, w, w)| + | < F, w > | \le \\ c_1(\Omega, K_0)\|\nabla w_*\|_0\|\nabla w\|^2_0 + c_2(\Omega, K_0)\|F\|_*\|\nabla w\|_0, \end{aligned}$$

$$c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu\|\nabla w\|_{0,\Omega} \le c_1(\Omega, K_0)\|\nabla w_*\|_0\|\nabla w\|_0 + c_2(\Omega, K_0)\|F\|_*, \tag{6.15}$$

Here note that

$$Fw = g_{ij}F^i w^j = (\delta_{\alpha\beta} + r^2\Theta_\alpha\Theta_\beta)F^\alpha w^\beta + \varepsilon\Theta_\alpha(F^\alpha w^3 + F^3 w^\alpha) + \varepsilon^2 r^2 F^3 w^3$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla w\|_0 &\le \tfrac{c_2\|F\|_*}{c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu - c_1\|\nabla w\|_0} \le \tfrac{c_2\|F\|_*}{c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu - \frac{c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu}{2}[1-\sqrt{(1-4c_1c_2\|F\|_*/(c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu)^2)}]} \\ &= \tfrac{c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu}{2c_1}[1 - \sqrt{(1 - \tfrac{4c_1c_2}{(c(\Omega,\Theta)\mu)^2}\|F\|_*)}] \end{aligned}$$

Thus Brouwer's fixed point theorem can be applied and gives the existence of Galerkin approximations satisfying (6.8). Hence by a standard compactness argument there is at least a subsequence of the Galerkin approximation converging to a weak solution $w \in V(\Omega)$ of the steady problem (3.11):

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find}\,(w,p), w \in V(\Omega), p \in L^2(\Omega), \text{such that} \\ a(w,v) + 2(\omega \times w, v) + b(w,w,v) + \\ \qquad -(p, \text{div}v) =< F, v >, \quad \forall\, v \in V(\Omega), \\ (q, \text{div}w) = 0, \quad \forall\, q \in L^2(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

Its smoothness is easily proven if one obtain a further estimate from the Galerkin approximations by setting $v = -Aw$ in (6.9). This gives

$$\mu\|Aw\|^2_0 = -2(\omega \times w, Aw) - b(w,w,Aw) + < F, Aw >, \tag{6.14}$$

Because Aw is solenoidal, one has the rather unusual trace estimate

$$|2(\omega \times w, Aw)| \le c_3\|w\|_0\|Aw\|_0, \quad | < F, Aw > | \le c_3\|F\|_*\|Aw\|_0, \tag{6.15}$$

which we combine with (6.14) and Agmon inequality

$$\|w\|_\infty \le c_4\|\nabla w\|_0^{1/2}\|Aw\|_0^{1/2}, \quad \forall w \in D(A), \tag{6.16}$$

to get

$$\mu\|Aw\|_0^2 \leq c_4\|\nabla w\|_0^{3/2}\|Aw\|_0^{3/2} + c_3\|w\|_0\|Aw\|_0 + c_3\|F\|_*\|Aw\|_0. \tag{6.17}$$

Then, by using Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\mu\|Aw\|_0 \leq \frac{2c_4^2}{\mu^2}\|\nabla w\|_0^3 + \frac{8c_3^2}{\mu}\|w\|_0^2 + \frac{8c_3^2}{\mu}\|F\|_*^2. \tag{6.18}$$

which is then inherited by the solution. The full classical smoothness of the solution can now be obtained using the L^2-regularity theory for the steady Stokes equations. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.3. ☐

It is clear that the bound in (6.10) is not uniform with respect to Θ. Next our gaol is to prove the the solution $w(\Theta)$ of Navier-Stokes equations (3.11) with mixed boundary condition is uniformly bounded. At first we prove

Lemma 6.3. *Assume that*

$$\Theta \in \mathcal{F} = \{\phi \in C^1(\Omega), \sup_D |\nabla\phi| \leq K_1 := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}r}, \} \tag{6.19}$$

then

$$a(w(\Theta), w(\Theta)) \geq \mu/2(2e_{\alpha\beta}(w)e_{\alpha\beta}(w) + e_{3\alpha}(w)e_{3\alpha}(w) + e_{33}(w)e_{33}(w)), \quad \forall w \in V(\Omega) \tag{6.20}$$

where $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is defined by (6.1) and $\|\cdot\|_\Omega$ is defined by (3.25).

Proof. By virtue of (4.6)(2.1)($\lambda = 0$) we claim

$$\begin{aligned} a(w,w) \quad &= 2\mu[e_{\alpha\beta}(w)e_{\alpha\beta}(w) + (2\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma + 2\delta_{\lambda\sigma}g^{33})e_{3\lambda}(w)e_{3\sigma}(w) + g^{33}g^{33}e_{33}(w)e_{33}(w) \\ &-4\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\alpha e_{3\lambda}(w)e_{\alpha\lambda}(w) + 2\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma e_{\lambda\sigma}(w)e_{33}(w) - 4\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\lambda e_{3\lambda}(w)e_{33}(w)], \end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

By Cauchy inequality and Yang inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\lambda e_{3\lambda}(w)e_{33}(w) \quad &\leq 8\varepsilon^{-2}(\Theta_\lambda e_{3\lambda}(w))^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}(g^{33}e_{33}(w))^2, \\ 4\varepsilon^{-1}\Theta_\alpha e_{3\lambda}(w)e_{\alpha\lambda}(w) \quad &\leq 4\sqrt{\varepsilon^{-2}\sum_{\alpha\lambda}(\Theta_\alpha e_{3\lambda}(w))^2}\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha\lambda}(e_{\alpha\lambda}(w))^2} \\ &\leq 16\varepsilon^{-2}|\nabla\Theta|^2(e_{3\lambda}(w)e_{3\lambda}(w)) + \tfrac{1}{4}e_{\alpha\lambda}(w)e_{\alpha\lambda}(w), \\ 2\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma e_{\lambda\sigma}(w)e_{33}(w) \quad &\leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon^{-4}\sum_{\lambda,\sigma}(\Theta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma e_{33}(w))^2}\sqrt{\sum_{\lambda,\sigma}(e_{\lambda\sigma}(w))^2} \\ &\leq 4\varepsilon^{-4}|\nabla\Theta|^4 e_{33}(w)e_{33}(w) + \tfrac{1}{4}e_{\lambda\alpha}(w)e_{\alpha\lambda}(w), \end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

To sum up and thanks $g^{33} = \varepsilon^{-2}r^{-2} + \varepsilon^{-2}|\nabla\Theta|^2$ we assert that

$$\begin{aligned} a(w,w) \quad &\geq 2\mu[\tfrac{1}{2}e_{\alpha\beta}(w)e_{\alpha\beta}(w) + [-6\varepsilon^{-2}\Theta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma + 2\varepsilon^{-2}r^{-2}\delta_{\lambda\sigma} - 14\varepsilon^{-2}|\nabla\Theta|^2\delta_{\lambda\sigma}]e_{3\lambda}(w)e_{3\sigma}(w) \\ &+(\tfrac{1}{2}g^{33}g^{33} - 4\varepsilon^{-4}|\nabla\Theta|^4)e_{33}(w)e_{33}(w)], \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\Theta_\lambda e_{3\lambda}(w)\Theta_\sigma e_{3\sigma}(w) \leq (\sqrt{\sum_\lambda \Theta_\lambda^2}\sqrt{\sum_\lambda e_{3\lambda}^2(w))}^2 \leq |\nabla\Theta|^2 e_{3\lambda}(w)e_{3\lambda}(w)$$

$$(\frac{1}{2}g^{33}g^{33} - 4\varepsilon^{-4}|\nabla\Theta|^4) = \frac{\varepsilon^{-4}}{2}(r^{-4} + 2r^{-2}|\nabla\Theta|^2 - 7|\nabla|^4)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} a(w,w) \quad &\geq 2\mu[\tfrac{1}{2}e_{\alpha\beta}(w)e_{\alpha\beta}(w) + 2\varepsilon^{-2}r^{-2}[1-10r^2|\nabla\Theta|^2]e_{3\lambda}(w)e_{3\lambda}(w) \\ &+\tfrac{\varepsilon^{-4}r^{-4}}{2}(1+2r^2|\nabla\Theta|^2 - 7r^4|\nabla\Theta|^4)e_{33}(w)e_{33}(w)] \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} &2\varepsilon^{-2}r^{-2}[1-10r^2|\nabla\Theta|^2] \geq \tfrac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{-2}r^{-2}, \quad \text{if}\,|\nabla\Theta| \leq \tfrac{1}{2\sqrt{5}r}; \\ &\tfrac{\varepsilon^{-4}r^{-4}}{2}(1+2r^2|\nabla\Theta|^2 - 7r^4|\nabla\Theta|^4) \geq \tfrac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{-4}r^{-4}, \quad \text{if}\,|\nabla\Theta| \leq \tfrac{2}{7r}, \end{aligned}$$

Hence If $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}$ then

$$a(w,w) \quad \geq 2\mu[\tfrac{1}{2}e_{\alpha\beta}(w)e_{\alpha\beta}(w) + \tfrac{1}{4}e_{3\lambda}(w)e_{3\lambda}(w) + \tfrac{1}{4}e_{33}(w)e_{33}(w)],$$

End the proof. □

Lemma 6.4. *Assumption in Lemma 4.1 is held such that the constant* K_0 *satisties*

$$K_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\beta_0(\Omega)}}, \tag{6.23}$$

where $\beta_0(\Omega)$ *is a constants depending* Ω *only .Then we have following unform coerciveness for bilinear form* $a(\cdot,\cdot)$

$$a(w,w) \geq \frac{\mu}{4}\|w\|^2_{\Omega} \geq \frac{\mu}{4}C_1(\Omega)\|w\|^2_{1,\Omega}, \quad \forall\, w \in H^1(\Omega)^3, \tag{6.24}$$

where $\alpha_0(\Omega)$ *is a constant independent of* Θ *and* $\|w\|^2_{\Omega}$ *is defined by (3.25).*

Proof. In view of (3.16),

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{\alpha\beta}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} \quad &= \|\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} + \|\psi^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}(w)\Theta_\lambda\|^2_{0,\Omega} + \|\psi^{\lambda\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(w)\Theta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma\|^2_{0,\Omega} + \|e^*_{\alpha\beta}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} \\ &+2(\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w),\psi^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}(w)\Theta_\lambda) + 2(\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w),\psi^{\lambda\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(w)\Theta_\lambda\Theta_\sigma) \\ &+2(\varphi^{\nu\mu}_{\alpha\beta}(w)\Theta_\nu\Theta\mu,\psi^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}(w)\Theta_\lambda) + 2(\widetilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}(w),e^*_{\alpha\beta}(w)), \end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

Using (3.18) it is easy to verify

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\psi^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} \leq \beta_0(\Omega)\|\varphi_{\alpha3}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega}, \quad \|\psi^{\lambda\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} \leq \beta_0(\Omega)(\|\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} + \|\varphi_{33}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega}), \\ &\|\psi^{\lambda}_{3\alpha}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} \leq \beta_0(\Omega)(\|\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} + \|\varphi_{33}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega}) \\ &\|\psi^{\lambda\sigma}_{3\alpha}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} \leq \beta_0(\Omega)\|\varphi_{\alpha3}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega}, \quad \|\psi^{\lambda}_{33}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} \leq \beta_0\|\varphi_{3\alpha}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega}, \\ &\|e^*_{\alpha\beta}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} \leq \beta_0(\Omega)K_0^2\|\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w)\|_{0,\Omega}, \quad |(\widetilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}(w),e^*_{\alpha\beta}(w))| \leq K_0^{1/2}\beta_0(\Omega)\|w\|^2_D, \end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Remark 6.1. *the constant* $\beta_0(\Omega)$ *represents different meaning at different place.*

By means of Schwarcs inequality, from (6.25)(6.26) we claim

$$\|e_{\alpha\beta}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} \geq \|\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} - K_0^{1/2}\beta_0(\Omega)\|w\|^2_{\Omega}, \tag{6.27}$$

By similar manner we imply

$$\|e_{3\alpha}(w)\|^2 \geq \|\varphi_{3\alpha}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} - K_0^{1/2}\beta_0(\Omega)\|w\|^2_{\Omega}, \quad \|e_{33}(w)\|^2 \geq \|\varphi_{33}(w)\|^2_{0,\Omega} - K_0\beta_0(\Omega)\|w\|^2_{\Omega},$$

Let return to (6.20), it yields that

$$a(w,w) \geq \tfrac{\mu}{2}[\|w\|^2_{0,\Omega} - K_0^{1/2}\beta_0(\Omega)\|w\|^2_{0,\Omega}].$$

This yields that if (6.23) is satisfied then ,by Lemma 3.3, it yields (6.24) . □

From Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 4.2 we conclude

THEOREM 6.4. *Assume the the assumptions in Theorem 6.1 are satisfied and (6.23) held. The* $w(\Theta)$ *is a solution of rotating Navier-Stokes equations (3.11) associated with* $\Theta \in C^2(\Omega, K_0)$. *Then the following estimate held*

$$\|w(\Theta)\|_\Omega \le \frac{\mu}{2c_1}[1 - \sqrt{(1 - \frac{4c_1c_2\|F\|_*}{(\mu)^2})}], \tag{6.28}$$

The solution is sequently weak continuous with respect to Θ, *i.e. if sequence* $\{\Theta_i\}$ *is weak convergent in* $H^1(D)$ *then there exist convergent subsequent in* $\{w(\Theta_i)\}$ *in* $H^1(\Omega)$.

REFERENCES

[1] T. R. Bewley, R. Temam and M. Ziane, *A General Gramework for Robust Control in Fluid Mechanics*, Physica D 138(2000),360-392.

[2] F. Abergel and R. Temam, *On Some Control Problems in Fluid Mechanics*, Theoret. Comput. Fluid Dyn. 1(1990), 303-325.

[3] V. Barbu, H_∞ *Boundary Control with State Feedback: the Hyperbolic Case*, SIAM J. Control Option. 33(1995), 648-701

[4] V. Barbu and S.S. Sritharan, H_∞ *Control theory of fluid dynamics*, Proc. R. Soc. London, A 454(1998), 3009-3033.

[5] T.R. Bewley, P. Moin and R. Temam, *DNS-based predictive control of turbulence: an optimal benchmark for feedback algorithms*, J. Fluid Mech.(1999).

[6] I. Ekeland and R. Temam, *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974; reedited in the series: Classics in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1999.

[7] M.D.Gunzburger(Ed.), *Flow Control, The Institute for Mathematics and its Applications*, Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 68, Springer, Berlin, 1995.

[8] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow*, Gordon and Breach, London, 1969.

[9] J.E. Lagnese, D.L. Russell and L.W. White(Eds.), *Control and Optimal Design of Distributed Parameter Systems*, the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 70, Springer, Berlin,1995.

[10] J. L. Lumley and P.N. Blossey, *Control of turbulence*, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 30(1998).

[11] V. Girault and P.A. Raviart, *Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations, Theory and Algortithms*, Springer-Verleg, Berlin Heidorberg, NewYork, 1986.

[12] R. Glowinski, *Finite Element Methods for Incompressible viscous Flow*, Handbook Of Numerical Analysis, Vol.IX, edited by P.G.Ciarlet and J.L.Lions, Elservier Science 2003.

[13] Li Kaitai and Ma Yichen, *Hilbert Space Methods for Mathematical Physical Equations(in Chineses)*,Xian Jiaotong University Press, 1992.

[14] Li Kaitai and Huang Aixiang, *Tensor Analysis and its Applications(in Chinese)*,Chinese Academic Press,Beijing, 2004.

[15] P.G. Ciarlet, *Mathematical Elasticity, Vol.111, Theory of Shell*, North-Holland, 2000.

[16] P. Constaintin and C. Foias, *Navier-Stokes Equations*, the University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1988.

[17] P. L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics, Volume 2: Compressible Models*, Oxford Science Publications, 1988.

[18] S.Kracmar,J.Neustupa, *A Weak Solvability of a steady Variational Inequality of the Navier-Stokes Type with Mixed Boundary Conditions*, Nonlinear Analysis 47(2001) 4169-4180.

[19] Petr Kucera, Zdenek Skalak, *Local Solutions to the Navier-Stokes Equations with Mixed Boundary Conditions*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 54(1998) 275-288.

[20] J.G.Heywood and Rolf Rannacher and Stefan Turek, *Artificial Boundaries and Flux and Pressure Conditions for The Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations*, Inter.J. Numer,Meth.in Fluids, Vol.22(1996)325-352.

[21] Bijan Mohammadi and Olivier Pironneau, *Shape Optimization in Fluid Mechanics*, Aunu.Re.Fluid Mech. 2004,36: 255-79.